# INVARIANTS OF POLYNOMIALS MOD FROBENIUS POWERS

#### C. DRESCHER AND A. V. SHEPLER

ABSTRACT. Lewis, Reiner, and Stanton conjectured a Hilbert series for a space of invariants under an action of finite general linear groups using (q,t)-binomial coefficients. This work gives an analog in positive characteristic of theorems relating various Catalan numbers to the representation theory of rational Cherednik algebras. They consider a finite general linear group as a reflection group acting on the quotient of a polynomial ring by iterated powers of the irrelevant ideal under the Frobenius map. We prove a variant of their conjecture in the local case, when the group acting fixes a reflecting hyperplane, over fields of prime order.

#### 1. Introduction

In 2017, Lewis, Reiner and Stanton [9] conjectured a combinatorial formula for the Hilbert series of a space of invariants under the action of the general linear group  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  in terms of (q,t)-binomial coefficients. This formula provides an analogue for the q-Catalan and q-Fuss Catalan numbers that connect Hilbert series for certain invariant spaces with the representation theory of rational Cherednik algebras for Coxeter and complex reflection groups. Results in the theory of reflection groups often follow from a local argument after considering the subgroup fixing one reflecting hyperplane. We prove here a version of the conjecture in the local case. We expect this local theory will extend to one for any modular reflection group, including  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

Lewis, Reiner, and Stanton consider  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  acting on  $V = (\mathbb{F}_q)^n$  and the polynomial ring  $S = S(V^*) = \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  by transformation of variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  in  $V^*$ . They consider the quotient of S by the m-th iterated Frobenius power of the irrelevant ideal,

$$\mathfrak{m}^{[q^m]} := (x_1^{q^m}, \dots, x_n^{q^m}),$$

which we call the *Frobenius irrelevant ideal*. Their conjecture gives the Hilbert series for the  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -invariants in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/(x_1^{q^m},\ldots,x_n^{q^m})$  using (q,t)-binomial coefficients.

We consider subgroups of reflections about a single hyperplane H in V. These groups are not cyclic in general, in contrast to groups over fields of characteristic 0. We take the case when q is a prime p; some of our ideas generalize to arbitrary q. We explicitly describe the space of G-invariants in  $S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  for any subgroup  $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  fixing a hyperplane H in V pointwise. We give the Hilbert series in terms of the dimension of the transvection root space. As a special case, we describe the invariants under the pointwise stabilizer  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)_H$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  of any hyperplane H in V.

Date: August 14, 2019.

Key words and phrases. reflection groups, invariant theory, Catalan numbers, Fuss-Catalan numbers, Frobenius map, (q, t)-binomial coefficients, transvections.

The second author was partially supported by Simons grant 429539.

**Theorem 1.1.** For any hyperplane H in V,

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{\mathfrak{m}}[p^{m}]\right)^{\operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{F}_{p})_{H}},\ t\right) = ([p^{m-1}]_{t^{p}})^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{p,t} + t^{p^{m}-1} ([p^{m}]_{t})^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{p,t}.$$

Recall the q-integer  $[m]_q = 1 + q + q^2 + \ldots + q^{m-1}$  and (q, t)-binomial coefficient (see [11])

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q,t} := \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 - t^{q^m - q^i}}{1 - t^{q^k - q^i}} .$$

We compare with the Lewis, Reiner, Stanton conjecture in Section 2 and give this Hilbert series in terms of q-Fuss Catalan numbers. The conjecture implies that the dimension over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of the  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -invariants in  $S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  counts the number of orbits in  $(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})^n$  under the action of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , and that this dimension is  $\sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,m)} {m \brack k}_q$  (see [9, Section 7.1 and Theorem 6.16]). We prove an analogous statement in Section 9:

Corollary 1.2. For any hyperplane H in  $V = (\mathbb{F}_p)^n$ , the number of orbits in  $(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})^n$  under the action of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)_H$  is

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left( \frac{S}{\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}} \right)^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)_H} = p^{(m-1)(n-1)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_p + p^{m(n-1)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_p.$$

**Example 1.3.** Consider G acting on  $V = (\mathbb{F}_5)^3$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{RootSpace}(G) \cap H) = 2$ . Then G is generated by two transvections and a diagonalizable reflection. We may assume (after a change-of-basis) that

$$G = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

for some e-th root-of-unity  $\omega$  in  $\mathbb{F}_5$ . The m-th iterated irrelevant ideal in  $\mathbb{F}_5[x_1, x_2, x_3]$  is  $(x_1^{5^m}, x_2^{5^m}, x_3^{5^m})$  for  $m \geq 1$ . We will see in Section 7 that

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{\mathfrak{m}^{[5^m]}}\right)^G, \ t\right) = \frac{(1 - t^{p^m})^2}{(1 - t^5)^2 (1 - t^e)} \left(1 - t^{5^m - 1} + t^{5^m - 1} (1 - t^e) \left(\frac{1 - t^5}{1 - t}\right)^2\right) \ .$$

Outline. In Section 2, we give motivation from the theory of rational Catalan combinatorics, which relates rational Cherednik algebras with various kinds of Catalan numbers. We recall some facts on modular reflection groups in Section 3. In Sections 4 to 7, we mainly consider a subgroup G of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  fixing a hyperplane H with maximal transvection root space; more general results in Sections 6 and 8 will follow from this special case. In Section 4, we give a Groebner basis for  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ , the invariants in the Frobenius irrelevant ideal, and compute the Hilbert series for  $S^G/(S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})$  in Section 5. We decompose  $(S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G$  as the direct sum of  $S^G/(S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})$  and a complement in Section 6. We give the Hilbert series for the G-invariants in  $S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  when G has maximal root space in Section 7 and for general groups fixing a hyperplane in Section 8. In Section 9, we show the Hilbert series for the full pointwise stabilizer  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)_H$  in  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  of a hyperplane H counts orbits. We give a bound on the Hilbert series for  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  in the conjecture of Lewis, Reiner, and Stanton in Section 10. Lastly, we give a resolution directly for  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  in the 2-dimensional case in Section 11.

#### 2. MOTIVATION

We recall some incentive for studying the invariants of  $S/\mathfrak{m}^{[q^m]}$  from the theory of Catalan combinatorics for Coxeter and complex reflection groups; see Armstrong, Reiner, and Rhoades [1]; Berest, Etingof and Ginzburg [2]; Bessis and Reiner [3]; Gordon [5]; Gordon and Griffeth [6]; Krattenthaler and Müller [8]; and Stump [13].

Graded Parking Spaces and Rational Cherednik Algebras. The parking space of an irreducible Weyl group gives an irreducible representation of the associated rational Cherednik algebra. The q-Catalan number for the group records the Hilbert series for the invariants in this space. More generally, for an irreducible Coxeter group W acting on  $V = \mathbb{C}^n$  with Coxeter number h, the graded parking space representation (see [1]) is isomorphic to  $S/(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$  for some homogeneous polynomials  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$  in S of degree h+1 with  $\mathbb{C}$ -span $\{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n\}$  isomorphic to the reflection representation  $V^*$ . Recall that the Coxeter number of a reflection group is the sum of the number of reflections and the number of reflecting hyperplanes divided by n (see [6]). The W-invariants in the parking space has Hilbert series given by the q-Catalan number for W:

Hilb 
$$\left( \left( \frac{S}{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)} \right)^W, q \right) = \text{Cat}(W, q) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - q^{h + d_i}}{1 - q^{d_i}}.$$

For a complex reflection group W, Gordon and Griffeth [6] connect the representation theory of the associated rational Cherednik algebra to the m-th q-Fuss Catalan numbers,

$$\operatorname{Cat}^{(m)}(W,q) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{[d_i + mh]_q}{[d_i]_q} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1 - q^{d_i + mh}}{1 - q^{d_i}},$$

giving the Hilbert series of W-invariants in a space  $S/(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$ , with each  $\theta_i$  homogeneous of degree mh + 1.

Lewis, Reiner, and Stanton Conjecture. For some Coxeter groups, the above ideal  $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$  takes a particularly nice form with  $\theta_i = x_i^{h+1}$ ; the graded parking space in this case is just  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(x_1^{h+1}, \ldots, x_n^{h+1})$ . Lewis, Reiner, and Stanton [9] ask what ideal can play the role of  $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$  for the modular reflection group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . They consider the ideal  $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) = (x_1^{q^m}, \ldots, x_n^{q^m}) = \mathfrak{m}^{[q^m]}$  for  $m \geq 0$  since  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n$  span a  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -stable subspace over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with the map  $x_i \mapsto x_i^{q^m}$  defining a  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -equivariant isomorphism (see [9]). The quotient  $S/\mathfrak{m}^{[q^m]}$  is  $(q^m)^n$ -dimensional, and Lewis, Reiner, and Stanton give a conjecture for the Hilbert series of its  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -fixed subspace:

Conjecture 2.1 ([9]). The space of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -invariants in  $S_{\mathfrak{m}[q^m]}$  has Hilbert series

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(\stackrel{S}{\underset{\mathfrak{m}}{\bigcap}} [q^m]\right)^{\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)}, \ t\right) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,m)} t^{(n-k)(q^m-q^k)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q,t} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,m)} t^{(n-k)(q^m-q^k)} \frac{\operatorname{Hilb}(S^{P_k},t)}{\operatorname{Hilb}(S^{\operatorname{GL}_m(\mathbb{F}_q)},t)} \end{aligned}$$

for  $P_k$  the maximal parabolic subgroup of  $GL_m(\mathbb{F}_q)$  stabilizing any  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -subspace of  $(\mathbb{F}_q)^m$  isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{F}_q)^k$ .

Compare with our Theorem 1.1, which is equivalent to the statement that

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{\mathfrak{m}}[p^m]\right)^{\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)_H},\ t\right)\ =\ \frac{\operatorname{Hilb}(S^{\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)_H},t)}{\operatorname{Hilb}(S^{\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})_H},t)}\ +\ \frac{(t^{p^m-1}-t^{p^m})}{(1-t^{p^m-1})}\frac{\operatorname{Hilb}(S,\ t)}{\operatorname{Hilb}(S^{\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})_H},t)}\ .$$

**A curious reformulation.** We mention a version of Theorem 1.1 in terms of q-Fuss Catalan numbers that connects with Conjecture 2.1. For modular reflection groups, the above definition of Coxeter number does not always give an integer, so we use an alternate definition that agrees with the traditional one over fields of characteristic 0. For any reflection group G acting on V with a polynomial ring of invariants  $S^G = \mathbb{F}[f_1, \ldots, f_n]$ , define the

Coxeter number of 
$$G := \frac{\deg J + \deg Q}{n}$$

for  $J = \det\{\partial f_i/\partial x_j\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$  in S, the determinant of the Jacobian derivative matrix, and  $Q = \prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}} l_H$ , the polynomial in S defining the arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  of reflecting hyperplanes for G. Note that  $\deg J$  is *not* the number of reflections in G in general.

We write  $\operatorname{Stab}_G(U)$  for the setwise stabilizer in any group G of a subspace U of V. Then Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to the statement that, for any hyperplane H in V,

(2.2) 
$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}}\right)^{\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)_H}, t\right) = \sum_{k=0,1} t^{(n-\dim G_k)(p^m-p^k)} \operatorname{Cat}^{(c_k)}(G_k, t)$$

where  $c_k = (p^m - p^k)/h_k$  and  $G_k = (\operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)}(V_k))|_{V_k}$  with Coxeter number  $h_k$  for  $V_0 = H$  and  $V_1 = V$ . Here,  $G_0$  is the identity subgroup of  $\operatorname{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  regarded as a trivial reflection group with degrees  $1, \ldots, 1$  and Coxeter number h = 1 while  $G_1 = G$  has Coxeter number p - 1. Each Fuss parameter  $c_k$  lies in  $\mathbb{N}$  although  $G_k$  is reducible.

Although reformulation Eq. (2.2) is somewhat artificial, it agrees with a version of the Lewis, Reiner, and Stanton conjecture if we allow for noninteger Fuss parameters. Conjecture 2.1 is equivalent to the statement that

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{\mathfrak{m}}[p^m]\right)^{\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)},\ t\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} t^{(n-\dim G_k)(p^m-p^k)} \operatorname{Cat}^{c_k}(G_k,t)$$

where again  $c_k = (p^m - p^k)/h_k$  and  $G_k = (\operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)}(V_k))|_{V_k} = \operatorname{GL}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$  with Coxeter number  $h_k = p^k - 1$  for  $V_k = (\mathbb{F}_p)^k \subset (\mathbb{F}_p)^n$ . Here, at least the groups  $G_k$  are irreducible.

# 3. Reflection groups and transvections

Recall that a reflection on  $V = \mathbb{F}^n$  for any field  $\mathbb{F}$  is a transformation s in GL(V) whose fixed point space is a hyperplane H in V. A reflection group is a subgroup of GL(V) generated by reflections; we assume all reflection groups are finite. Suppose G is a reflection group fixing a hyperplane H in V and choose some linear form l in  $V^*$  defining H, i.e., with  $\operatorname{Ker} l = H$ . Every g in G defines a root vector G in G satisfying

$$q(v) = v + l(v)\alpha_a$$
 for all  $v$  in  $V$ .

We denote the collection of all root vectors by RootSpace(G). In the nonmodular setting, when the characteristic p is relatively prime to |G|, the group G is cyclic. In this case, every group element is semisimple, and one can choose a G-invariant inner product so that any root vector for H is perpendicular to H. In the modular setting, when  $p = \text{char}(\mathbb{F})$  divides |G|, the root vector of a reflection g may lie in H itself; this occurs exactly when g is not semisimple. Such reflections are called transvections and they have order  $p = \text{char}(\mathbb{F})$ .

The transvections in G form a normal subgroup K, the kernel of the determinant character  $\det: G \to \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ . The group G is generated by K and some semisimple element  $g_n$  of maximal order e = |G/K|, and G is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of K and the cyclic subgroup  $\langle g_n \rangle$  of semisimple reflections:

$$G \cong K \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$$
.

Now assume  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ . The corresponding transvection root space RootSpace $(G) \cap H$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space (see [7]), and its dimension,

$$\ell = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_n}(\operatorname{RootSpace}(G) \cap H)$$
,

is the minimal number of transvections needed to generate G: there are transvections  $g_1, \ldots, g_\ell$  with  $G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_\ell, g_n \rangle$  and  $|G| = e \cdot p^{\ell}$ .

**After conjugation.** We may choose a basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  of V with dual basis  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of  $V^*$  so that  $v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}$  span the hyperplane  $H = \text{Ker}(x_n)$ . Then  $g_n$  fixes  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  and  $g_n(x_n) = \omega^{-1}x_n$  for  $\omega$  a primitive e-th root-of-unity in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . We furthermore refine the basis so that each transvection  $g_k$  fixes  $x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n$  and  $g_k(x_k) = x_k - x_n$ :

$$g_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and, for } 1 \le k \le \ell, \quad g_k := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow k^{\text{th}} \text{ row.}$$

**Example 3.1.** When n=3, p=5, and  $\ell=1$ , G acting on  $V=(\mathbb{F}_5)^3$  is generated by one transvection and possibly an additional semisimple reflection. We may assume (after a change-of-basis) that for some e-th root-of-unity  $\omega$  in  $\mathbb{F}_5$ 

$$G = \left\langle g_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \ g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

**Basic Invariants.** The ring of invariant polynomials  $S^G$  is itself a polynomial ring,  $S^G = \mathbb{F}_p[f_1, \dots, f_n]$  with homogeneous generators

$$f_1 = x_1^p - x_1 x_n^{p-1}, \dots, f_\ell = x_\ell^p - x_\ell x_n^{p-1}, \quad f_{\ell+1} = x_{\ell+1}, \dots, f_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, \quad f_n = x_n^e$$
 and

$$Hilb(S^G, t) = \frac{1}{(1 - t^p)^{\ell} (1 - t)^{n - \ell - 1} (1 - t^e)}.$$

**Example 3.2.** For  $G = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \subset GL_3(\mathbb{F}_5)$ , the ring  $S^G$  is generated by

$$f_1 = x_1^5 - x_1 x_3^4$$
,  $f_2 = x_2^5 - x_2 x_3^4$ , and  $f_3 = x_3^e$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_5$ -algebra.

Here,  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}=(x_1^{5^m},x_2^{5^m},x_3^{5^m}),$  and we will compute the Hilbert series of

$$(S_{\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}})^G = (\mathbb{F}_5[x_1, x_2, x_3]/(x_1^{5^m}, x_2^{5^m}, x_3^{5^m}))^G$$
 for any fixed  $m \ge 1$ .

### 4. Describing the invariants in the Froebenius irrelevant ideal

We begin by finding the invariants in the Frobenius irrelevant ideal itself, describing  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ . We assume G is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  fixing one hyperplane H throughout this section. The general case will follow from the special case when G has maximal transvection root space, so we assume  $\ell = n - 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may take a basis  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  for  $V^*$  so that  $H = \operatorname{Ker} x_n$  and G acts as in Section 3.

Monomial orderings. We consider S as a graded ring with respect to the usual polynomial degree with  $\deg x_i=1$  for all i. The Frobenius irrelevant ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  is then a homogeneous ideal giving a graded quotient  $S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ . We use compatible monomial orderings on the two polynomial rings S and  $S^G$ . On  $S=\mathbb{F}_p[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ , we take the graded lexicographical ordering with  $x_1>x_2>\cdots>x_n$ . We take the inherited graded lexicographical ordering on  $S^G=\mathbb{F}_p[f_1,\ldots,f_n]$ , i.e., the grading with  $\deg(f_n)=e< p$  and  $\deg(f_i)=p$  for i< n and ordering  $f_1>f_2>\cdots>f_n$ . Then for any polynomials f and f' in  $S^G$ , f< f' in the monomial ordering on  $S^G$  if and only if f< f' in the monomial ordering on f and f and f are specified by the leading monomials of a polynomial f with respect the ordering on f and f and f are specified by the specified f and f are specified by the leading monomials of a polynomial f with respect the ordering on f and f and f are specified by the specified f and f are specified by the specified f and f are specified by the specified f and f are specified f and

(4.1) 
$$\operatorname{LM}_{S}(\operatorname{LM}_{S^{G}}(f)) = \operatorname{LM}_{S}(f).$$

We will frequently use the fact that, for any nonnegative exponents  $a_i$ ,

$$(4.2) \quad f_i \, x_1^{a_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} \, x_n^{p^m-1} \, \equiv \, x_1^{a_1} \dots x_{i-1}^{a_{i-1}} x_i^{p+a_i} x_{i+1}^{a_{i+1}} \dots x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} \, x_n^{p^m-1} \quad \text{mod } \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} \, .$$

Generators for invariants in the Frobenius irrelevant ideal. We will show that the following polynomials give a Groebner basis for  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  when G has maximal transvection root space.

**Definition 4.3.** Define polynomials in  $S^G = \mathbb{F}_p[f_1, \dots, f_n]$  for  $1 \leq a \leq b < n$  by

$$h_0 = f_n^{1+e^{-1}(p^m-1)}, \quad h_{1,a} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f_n^{1+e^{-1}(p^m-p^{m-k})} f_a^{p^{m-k-1}}, \text{ and } h_{2,a,b} = f_a^{p^{m-1}} f_b^{p^{m-1}}.$$

**Example 4.4.** For our archetype example  $G = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ ,

$$h_0 = f_3^{1+e^{-1}(5^m-1)},$$
 
$$h_{1,1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f_3^{1+e^{-1}(5^m-5^{m-k})} f_1^{5^{m-k-1}}, \quad h_{1,2} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f_3^{1+e^{-1}(5^m-5^{m-k})} f_2^{5^{m-k-1}},$$
 
$$h_{2,1,1} = f_1^{2(5^{m-1})}, \quad h_{2,1,2} = f_1^{5^{m-1}} f_2^{5^{m-1}}, \quad \text{and } h_{2,2,2} = f_2^{2(5^{m-1})}.$$

The next lemma verifies that these polynomials lie in the Frobenius irrelevant ideal.

**Lemma 4.5.** For G with maximal transvection root space,  $\{h_0, h_{1,a}, h_{2,a,b}\} \subset S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ .

*Proof.* Simple computation confirms that

$$\begin{split} h_0 &= x_n^{p^m+e-1},\\ h_{1,a} &= x_a^{p^m} x_n^e - x_a x_n^{p^m+e-1}, \quad \text{and}\\ h_{2,a,b} &= x_a^{p^m} x_b^{p^m} - x_a^{p^{m-1}} x_b^{p^m} x_n^{(p-1)p^{m-1}} - x_a^{p^m} x_b^{p^{m-1}} x_n^{(p-1)p^{m-1}} + x_a^{p^{m-1}} x_b^{p^{m-1}} x_n^{2(p-1)p^{m-1}}. \end{split}$$

The next lemma is key to characterizing elements of  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ ; it relies on an inductive argument using Lucas' Theorem.

**Lemma 4.6.** Suppose G has maximal transvection root space. Let  $f \in S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  and write f as a polynomial in the variables  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ , say homogeneous. Then  $\mathrm{LM}_{S^G}(f)$  is divisible by  $f_n$  or some  $h_{2,a,b}$  with  $1 \le a \le b < n$ .

*Proof.* Suppose no  $h_{2,a,b}$  divides  $LM_{SG}(f)$  nor  $f_n$ . Then

$$LM_{S^G}(f) = f_1^{c_1} f_2^{c_2} \cdots f_{n-1}^{c_{n-1}}$$

for some  $c_i < 2p^{m-1}$  (as no  $h_{2,a,a}$  divides) with all but possibly one exponent satisfying  $c_i < p^{m-1}$  (as no  $h_{2,a,b}$  divides for  $a \neq b$ ). Observe first that not all  $c_i < p^{m-1}$ . Otherwise, by the binomial theorem and Eq. (4.1),

$$LM_S(f) = LM_S(LM_{S^G}(f)) = x_1^{c_1 p} x_2^{c_2 p} \cdots x_{n-1}^{c_{n-1} p}$$

would not lie in the monomial ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ , contradicting the fact that f does. Hence there is a unique index j with  $p^{m-1} \leq c_j < 2p^{m-1}$ . Without loss of generality, say j=1, so that  $p^{m-1} \leq c_1 < 2p^{m-1}$  and  $c_i < p^{m-1}$  for 1 < i < n. Define h by

$$h = f \cdot f_1^{2p^{m-1} - c_1 - 1} f_2^{p^{m-1} - c_2 - 1} f_3^{p^{m-1} - c_3 - 1} \cdots f_{n-1}^{p^{m-1} - c_{n-1} - 1}.$$

We will produce a monomial

$$x_{\alpha} = x_1^{p^m - p + 1} x_2^{p^m - p} x_3^{p^m - p} \cdots x_{n-1}^{p^m - p} x_n^{p^m - 1}$$

of h in the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  which does not lie in  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ . This will imply that h itself does not lie in  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ , contradicting the fact that h is a multiple of f.

To this end, set  $L = LM_{SG}(h)$ , so that, by construction,

$$L = LM_{S^G}(h) = f_1^{2p^{m-1}-1} f_2^{p^{m-1}-1} \cdots f_{n-1}^{p^{m-1}-1}.$$

We write L as a polynomial in the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  using the binomial theorem. Direct calculation in  $S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  confirms that

$$L + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} = \pm \ x_\alpha + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$$

as Lucas' theorem on binomial coefficients (see [10] or [12, Exercise 1.6(a)]) implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2p^{m-1} - 1 \\ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p^i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } m = 1, 2, \\ \prod_{i=0}^{m-2} {p-1 \choose 1} = (-1)^{m-1} & \text{for } m > 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the monomial  $x_{\alpha}$  appears with nonzero coefficient in L and does not lie in  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ .

We now argue that  $x_{\alpha}$  appears with nonzero coefficient in h itself (i.e., does not cancel with other terms). Consider the coefficient  $c_{\alpha}(M)$  of  $x_{\alpha}$  in some other monomial

$$M = f_1^{c_1'} f_2^{c_2'} \cdots f_n^{c_n'} < L$$

of h after expanding M in the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . Suppose  $c_{\alpha}(M) \neq 0$ .

We first establish that M has smaller degree in  $f_1$  than L but larger degree in  $f_n$ . Indeed, note that  $p^{m-1} \leq c_1'$ , else  $\deg_{x_1}(M) < \deg_{x_1}(x_\alpha)$  and  $c_\alpha(M) = 0$ . Now fix 1 < i < n and consider  $c_i'$ . Note that  $c_i' \geq p^{m-1} - 1$  else  $c_\alpha(M) = 0$  as  $f_k \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_k, x_n]$ for all k. And  $c'_i \leq p^{m-1} - 1$  else  $h_{2,1,i}$  divides M and  $c_{\alpha}(M) = 0$  as  $M \in \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ . Thus  $c'_i = p^{m-1} - 1$  and  $\deg_{f_i}(M) = \deg_{f_i}(L)$  for 1 < i < n. But  $\deg_S M = \deg_S L$ , with M < L. Thus M has smaller degree in  $f_1$  but larger degree in  $f_n$  than L, i.e.,

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \, p^{m-1} \leq c_1' < 2p^{m-1} 1, \text{ and} \\ \bullet \ \, c_i' = p^{m-1} 1 \text{ for } 1 < i < n, \text{ and} \end{array}$

We assume  $m \geq 2$  since if m = 1, then  $c'_1 = 0$  and  $\deg_{x_1}(M) = 0$ , forcing  $c_{\alpha}(M) = 0$ . We examine the contribution to M from  $f_1$ . Set  $d = c_1$ . Then as  $c_{\alpha} \neq 0$  and

$$f_1^d = (x_1^p - x_1 x_n^{p-1})^d = \sum_{i=0}^d {d \choose i} x_1^{dp-(p-1)i} x_n^{(p-1)i},$$

there is some index i with  $\binom{d}{i} \neq 0$  and  $dp - (p-1)i = p^m - p + 1$ . Hence  $i \equiv 1 \mod p$ . Since  $d < 2p^{m-1} - 1$  by assumption,

(4.7) 
$$d = p^{m-1} + (p-1)a$$
 and  $i = 1 + pa$  for some  $0 \le a < \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} p^k$ .

We show instead that  $\sum_{k=0}^{m-2} p^k \leq a$  by considering the base p expansions of a and d:

$$a = \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} a_k p^k$$
 and  $d = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d_k p^k$  for some  $0 \le a_k, d_k < p$ .

We compare the base-p coefficients  $d_k$  and  $a_k$  using the key point that  $\binom{a}{i}$  is nonzero: Lucas' Theorem [10] implies that

$$0 \neq {d \choose i} = {d_0 \choose 1} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} {d_k \choose a_{k-1}} \quad \text{as } i = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{k-1} p^k;$$

since no factor in the product vanishes, we conclude that  $d_0 \ge 1$  and each  $a_{k-1} \le d_k$ . Eq. (4.7) then provides direct comparison of  $d_k$  and  $a_k$ ,

(4.8) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d_k p^k = d = p^{m-1} - a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} (a_{k-1} - a_k) p^{k+1}.$$

We now regroup base p as needed and show inductively that  $0 < a_0 \le a_1 \le \ldots \le a_{m-2}$ . We first consider  $a_0$ . Since  $1 \leq d_0$ , Eq. (4.8) implies that  $d_0 = p - a_0$  and  $a_0 \neq 0$ . Next observe that  $a_0 \le a_1$  since  $a_0 \le d_1$ , and Eq. (4.8) implies that

$$d_1 = p + a_0 - a_1 - 1$$
 when  $a_0 \le a_1$  whereas  $d_1 = a_0 - a_1 - 1$  when  $a_1 < a_0$ .

Similarly,  $a_1 \leq a_2$  since  $a_1 \leq d_2$ , and Eq. (4.8) implies that

$$d_2 = p + a_1 - a_2 - 1$$
 when  $a_1 \le a_2$  whereas  $d_2 = a_1 - a_2 - 1$  when  $a_2 < a_1$ .

We iterate this argument and conclude that  $0 < a_0 \le a_1 \le ... \le a_{m-2}$ . But this contradicts Eq. (4.7), so  $\binom{d}{i} = 0$  and thus  $c_{\alpha}(M) = 0$ .

We now show that the collection of  $h_0$ ,  $h_{1,a}$ ,  $h_{2,a,b}$  is a Groebner basis.

**Proposition 4.9.** If G has maximal transvection root space, then a Groebner basis for the ideal  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  of  $S^G$  is

$$\mathscr{G} = \{h_0, h_{1,a}, h_{2,a,b} : 1 \le a \le b < n\}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose f in  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  is homogeneous in the variables  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ . Suppose neither  $h_0 = \mathrm{LM}_{S^G}(h_0)$  nor  $h_{2,a,b} = \mathrm{LM}_{S^G}(h_{2,a,b})$  for  $1 \leq a \leq b < n$  divides  $\mathrm{LM}_{S^G}(f)$ . We show  $\mathrm{LM}_{S^G}(h_{1,j})$  divides  $\mathrm{LM}_{S^G}(f)$  for some  $1 \leq j < n$ . We write

$$LM_{S^G}(f) = f_1^{c_1} f_2^{c_2} \cdots f_n^{c_n}$$

for some  $c_n < \deg_{f_n}(h_0) = 1 + (p^m - 1)/e$  and some  $c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}$ . But f and hence  $\mathrm{LM}_S(f)$  lies in  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ , so  $p^{m-1} \le c_j$  for some index j < n since

$$LM_S(f) = LM_S(LM_{S^G}(f)) = x_1^{pc_1} x_2^{pc_2} \dots x_{n-1}^{pc_{n-1}} x_n^{ec_n}$$
.

Then  $f_j^{p^{m-1}}$  divides  $\mathrm{LM}_{S^G}(f)$ . Lemma 4.6 implies that  $\mathrm{LM}_{S^G}(f)$  is also divisible by  $f_n$ , hence by  $\mathrm{LM}_{S^G}(h_{1,j}) = f_j^{p^{m-1}} f_n$  as well. As  $\mathscr{G} \subset S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  by Lemma 4.5,  $\mathscr{G}$  is a Groebner basis for  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ .

**Example 4.10.** For  $G = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ , the collection of polynomials

$$h_0 = f_3^{1+e^{-1}(5^m-1)}, \quad h_{1,1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f_3^{1+e^{-1}(5^m-5^{m-k})} f_1^{5^{m-k-1}}, \quad h_{2,2,2} = f_2^{2(5^{m-1})},$$

$$h_{1,2} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f_3^{1+e^{-1}(5^m-5^{m-k})} f_2^{5^{m-k-1}}, \quad h_{2,1,1} = f_1^{2(5^{m-1})}, \quad \text{and} \quad h_{2,1,2} = f_1^{5^{m-1}} f_2^{5^{m-1}}$$

form a Groebner basis for  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[5^m]}$  as an ideal of  $S^G$ .

# 5. HILBERT SERIES OF INVARIANTS IN THE FROBENIUS IRRELEVANT IDEAL

Again, we assume G is a subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  fixing one hyperplane H and set e to be the maximal order of a semisimple element of G. We consider the case when G has maximal transvection root space, i.e., the case when G is generated by n-1 transvections together possibly with a semisimple reflection of order e.

**Proposition 5.1.** Suppose G has maximal transvection root space. Then

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^G + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}}, \ t = \left(\frac{1 - t^{p^m}}{1 - t^p}\right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{1 - t^{p^m + e - 1} + (n - 1)t^{p^m}(1 - t^e)}{1 - t^e}\right).$$

*Proof.* We replace the ideal  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  by its initial ideal with respect to the graded lexicographical order on  $\mathbb{F}_p[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  with  $x_1 > \cdots > x_n$ , since (see, for example, [4])

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{G} + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^{m}]}, t\right) = \operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{G}/(S^{G} \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^{m}]}), t\right) = \operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{G}/(S^{G} \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^{m}]}), t\right).$$

We compute the Hilbert series recursively using short exact sequences. By Proposition 4.9,  $\mathscr{G}$  is a Groebner basis for  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ , and we enumerate the various elements  $h_0, h_{1,a}$ , and  $h_{2,a,b}$  in  $\mathscr{G}$  as  $h_1, h_2, h_3, \ldots, h_{n-1+\binom{n}{2}}$  by setting

$$h_k = h_{1,k} \quad \text{ for } 1 \leq k < n \quad \text{ and } \quad h_{na+b-\binom{a+1}{2}} = h_{2,a,b} \quad \text{ for } 1 \leq a \leq b < n \,.$$

Define  $M_i = LM_{S^G}(h_i)$  and

$$I_0 = (M_0), \ I_i = (M_j : 0 \le j \le i) \text{ and } J_i = (M_j / \gcd(M_j, M_{i+1}) : 0 \le j \le i)$$

for  $0 \le i \le n-1+\binom{n}{2}$  with  $M_{n+\binom{n}{2}}$  arbitrarily set to 1 for ease with notation. Note that  $I_{n-1+\binom{n}{2}}=\operatorname{in}(S^G\cap\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})$ . This gives the short exact sequence (for each i)

$$(5.2) 0 \longrightarrow (\overset{S^G}{/_{J_i}}) \left[ -\deg(M_{i+1}) \right] \longrightarrow \overset{S^G}{/_{I_i}} \longrightarrow \overset{S^G}{/_{I_{i+1}}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Each ideal  $I_i$  is uniquely determined by some polynomial  $h_i$  of the form  $h_0$ ,  $h_{1,a}$ , or  $h_{2,a,b}$ , and we revert to more suggestive notation for the next computations, defining

$$\begin{split} I^0 &= I_0, \qquad I^{1,k} = I_k, \quad I^{2,a,b} = I_{na+b-\binom{a+1}{2}} & \text{ for } 1 \leq k < n, \ 1 \leq a \leq b < n \, ; \\ J^0 &= J_0, \qquad J^{1,k} = J_k, \quad J^{2,a,b} = J_{na+b-\binom{a+1}{2}} & \text{ for } 1 \leq k < n, \ 1 \leq a \leq b < n \, , \end{split}$$

so that the ideals  $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_{n-1+\binom{n}{2}}$  merely enumerate the ideals  $I^0, I^{1,a}, I^{2,a,b}$  for ease with induction, with the last ideal in our sequence just

$$I^{2,n-1,n-1} = I_{n-1+\binom{n}{2}} = \operatorname{in}(S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})$$
 .

To compute the Hilbert series for each  $S^G/J_i$ , we first give minimal generating sets for each ideal  $J_i$ ,

$$J^{0} = \left(f_{n}^{e^{-1}(p^{m}-1)}\right)$$

$$J^{1,a} = \left(f_{n}^{e^{-1}(p^{m}-1)}, f_{j}^{p^{m-1}} : 1 \le j \le a\right) \quad \text{for } 1 \le a \le n-2$$

$$J^{1,n-1} = (f_{n})$$

$$J^{2,a,b} = \left(f_{n}, f_{j}^{p^{m-1}} : 1 \le j \le b\right) \quad \text{for } 1 \le a \le b \le n-2$$

$$J^{2,a,n-1} = \left(f_{n}, f_{j}^{p^{m-1}} : 1 \le j \le a\right) \quad \text{for } 1 \le a \le n-2,$$

and then use the fact that the Hilbert series are additive over short exact sequences of the form (for  $1 \le c \le n-1$ )

$$0 \longrightarrow (f_n^d) \longrightarrow S^G \longrightarrow S^G / (f_n^d) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow (f_c^{p^{m-1}}) \longrightarrow S^G / (f_n^d, f_i^{p^{m-1}} : 1 \le i \le c - 1) \longrightarrow S^G / (f_n^d, f_i^{p^{m-1}} : 1 \le i \le c) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Hilb}\left(S^{G}/_{J^{0}}, t\right) &= \frac{1 - t^{p^{m} - 1}}{(1 - t^{e})(1 - t^{p})^{n - 1}}, \\
&\text{Hilb}\left(S^{G}/_{J^{1, a}}, t\right) &= \frac{(1 - t^{p^{m}})^{a}(1 - t^{p^{m} - 1})}{(1 - t^{e})(1 - t^{p})^{n - 1}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq a \leq n - 2, \\
&\text{Hilb}\left(S^{G}/_{J^{1, n - 1}}, t\right) &= \frac{1 - t^{e}}{(1 - t^{e})(1 - t^{p})^{n - 1}}, \\
&\text{Hilb}\left(S^{G}/_{J^{2, a, b}}, t\right) &= \frac{(1 - t^{p^{m}})^{b}(1 - t^{e})}{(1 - t^{e})(1 - t^{p})^{n - 1}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq a \leq b \leq n - 2 \quad \text{and,} \\
&\text{Hilb}\left(S^{G}/_{J^{2, a, n - 1}}, t\right) &= \frac{(1 - t^{p^{m}})^{a}(1 - t^{e})}{(1 - t^{e})(1 - t^{p})^{n - 1}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq a \leq n - 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then as

(5.4) 
$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{G}/I^{0}, t\right) = \frac{1 - t^{p^{m} + e - 1}}{(1 - t^{e})(1 - t^{p})^{n - 1}},$$

Equations (5.2) to (5.4) imply that Hilb  $\left(S^G/\text{in}(S^G\cap\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})\,,\,t\right)$  is

$$\underbrace{\frac{1-t^{p^m+e-1}}{(1-t^e)(1-t^p)^{n-1}}}_{I^0} - \underbrace{t^{p^m+e} \frac{1-t^{p^m-1}}{(1-t^e)(1-t^p)^{n-1}}}_{J^0} - \underbrace{t^{p^m+e} \sum_{a=1}^{n-2} \frac{(1-t^{p^m})^a (1-t^{p^m-1})}{(1-t^e)(1-t^p)^{n-1}}}_{J^{1,a}} - \underbrace{t^{2p^m} \frac{1-t^e}{(1-t^e)(1-t^p)^{n-1}}}_{I^{1,n-1}} - \underbrace{t^{2p^m} \sum_{b=1}^{n-2} \sum_{a=1}^{b} \frac{(1-t^{p^m})^b (1-t^e)}{(1-t^e)(1-t^p)^{n-1}}}_{I^{2,a,b}} - \underbrace{t^{2p^m} \sum_{a=1}^{n-2} \frac{(1-t^{p^m})^a (1-t^e)}{(1-t^e)(1-t^p)^{n-1}}}_{I^{2,a,n-1}} - \underbrace{t^{2p^m} \sum_{a=1}^{n-2} \frac{(1-t^p)^a (1-t^e)}{(1-t^e)(1-t^p)^{n-1}}}_{I^{2,a,n-1}} - \underbrace{t^{2p^m} \sum_{a=1}^{n-2} \frac{(1-t^p)^a (1-t^e)}{(1-t^p)^n}}_{I^{2,a,n-1}} - \underbrace{t^{2p^m} \sum_{a=1}^{n-2} \frac{(1-t^p)^a (1-t^e)}{(1-t^p)^n}}_{I^{2,a,n-1}}}$$

We combine summations to express  $\mathrm{Hilb}\left(S^G/\mathrm{in}(S^G\cap\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})\,,\,t\right)$  as

$$\frac{1 - t^{p^m + e - 1}}{(1 - t^e)(1 - t^p)^{n - 1}} - t^{p^m + e} \sum_{a = 0}^{n - 2} \frac{(1 - t^{p^m})^a (1 - t^{p^m - 1})}{(1 - t^e)(1 - t^p)^{n - 1}} - t^{2p^m} (1 - t^e) \sum_{b = 1}^{n - 2} \sum_{a = 1}^{b} \frac{(1 - t^{p^m})^b}{(1 - t^e)(1 - t^p)^{n - 1}} - t^{2p^m} \sum_{a = 0}^{n - 2} \frac{(1 - t^{p^m})^a (1 - t^e)}{(1 - t^e)(1 - t^p)^{n - 1}},$$

which simplifies (using elementary series formulas) to

$$\frac{1 - t^{p^m + e - 1}}{(1 - t^e)(1 - t^p)^{n - 1}} - t^{p^m + e} \frac{(1 - (1 - t^{p^m})^{n - 1})(1 - t^{p^m - 1})}{t^{p^m}(1 - t^e)(1 - t^p)^{n - 1}} - t^{2p^m} (1 - t^e) \sum_{b = 1}^{n - 2} \frac{b(1 - t^{p^m})^b}{(1 - t^e)(1 - t^p)^{n - 1}} - t^{2p^m} \frac{(1 - (1 - t^{p^m})^{n - 1})(1 - t^e)}{t^{p^m}(1 - t^e)(1 - t^p)^{n - 1}}.$$

We use the fact that

$$\sum_{b=1}^{n-2} b \left(1 - t^{p^m}\right)^b = \frac{-(1 - t^{p^m})((n-1)(1 - t^{p^m})^{n-2} t^{p^m} + 1 - (1 - t^{p^m})^{n-1})}{t^{2p^m}}$$

to rewrite this last expression as

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(S^{G}/\inf(S^{G}\cap\mathfrak{m}^{[p^{m}]}),\ t\right) = \frac{(1-t^{p^{m}})^{n-1}\left(1-t^{p^{m}+e-1}+(n-1)t^{p^{m}}(1-t^{e})\right)}{(1-t^{e})(1-t^{p})^{n-1}}.$$

**Example 5.5.** For  $G = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ , Proposition 5.1 implies that

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left( \left(S^G + \mathfrak{m}^{[5^m]}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}[5^m]}, t \right) = \frac{(1 - t^{5^m})^2 \left(1 - t^{5^m + e - 1} + 2t^{5^m} (1 - t^e)\right)}{(1 - t^e)(1 - t^5)^2}.$$

#### 6. Decomposition of the invariant space

We use the description of  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  from the last two sections to give a direct sum decomposition of  $(S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G$  in this section. Again, we consider a subgroup G of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  fixing a hyperplane. Without loss of generality, we use the basis  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of  $V^*$  as in Section 3 and basic invariants  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  for G. We show that  $(S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G$  is the direct sum of subspaces

$$A_G = (S^G + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})_{\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$B_G = \mathbb{F}_p[f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}] \operatorname{-span}\{x_1^{a_1} \dots x_\ell^{a_\ell} x_n^{p^m - 1} + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} : 0 \le a_i < p, \sum_{i=1}^\ell a_i \ge 2\}.$$

Recall that  $\ell$  is the minimal number of transvections needed to generate G together possibly with some semisimple reflection of order e; we set e=1 if no group elements in G are diagonalizable.

**Remark 6.1.** In defining the subspace  $B_G$ , we require  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i \geq 2$  to avoid nontrivial intersection with  $A_G$ ; see Proposition 6.5. Otherwise  $B_G$  would contain  $x_n^{p^m-1} + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  and  $x_i x_n^{p^m-1} + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ , for example, which lie in  $A_G$  for  $i < \ell$ .

We first describe the leading monomial in  $(S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G$  using the standard graded lexicographical order on  $S = \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  with  $x_1 > \cdots > x_n$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** Assume G has maximal transvection root space. Suppose  $f + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  lies in  $(S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G$  with f homogeneous in  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . Then  $\mathrm{LM}_S(f)$  lies in

$$\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$$
 or  $\mathbb{F}_p[x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},f_n^{e^{-1}(p^m-1)}]$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p[x_1^p,\ldots,x_{n-1}^p,f_n]$ .

*Proof.* Say  $M = LM_S(f)$  does not lie in  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  or in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, f_n^{e^{-1}(p^m-1)}]$ . Then

$$M = x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_k^{b_k} \cdots x_{n-1}^{b_{n-1}} \, x_n^{b_n}$$
 for some  $b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} < p^m$  and  $b_n < p^m - 1$ .

We use the generators  $g_1, \ldots, g_n$  of G from Section 3. Since f is G-invariant modulo  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ , the difference  $g_n f - f$  lies in  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  and the low degree of each  $x_i$  forces f itself to be invariant under  $g_n$ ; hence  $b_n$  is divisible by e.

Suppose there is some exponent  $b_k$  which is not divisible by p with k < n. Consider  $g = g_k^{-1}$  acting on  $M = \text{LM}_S(f)$ . Then  $g \cdot M - M$  is

$$x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_{k-1}^{b_{k-1}} \left( (x_k + x_n)^{b_k} - x_k^{b_k} \right) x_{k+1}^{b_{k+1}} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$$

with leading monomial

(6.3) 
$$LM_{S}(gM - M) = x_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots x_{k-1}^{b_{k-1}} (b_{k} x_{k}^{b_{k-1}}) x_{k+1}^{b_{k+1}} x_{k+2}^{b_{k+2}} \cdots x_{n-1}^{b_{n-1}} x_{n}^{b_{n+1}}$$

as  $b_k \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Notice that the leading monomial of gM - M is the leading monomial of gf - f as M is the leading monomial of f and g fixes  $x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n$ .

Since f is invariant modulo  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ , the difference gf - f and thus its leading monomial (6.3) lies in  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ . But this is impossible as  $b_n < p^m - 1$  and  $b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1} < p^m$  by our assumptions. Thus every exponent  $b_k$  for k < n must be divisible by p.

We use Lemma 6.2 to decompose the invariants of the quotient space.

**Proposition 6.4.** For G with maximal transvection root space,  $\left(S_{\mathfrak{m}}[p^m]\right)^G = A_G + B_G$ .

*Proof.* By construction,  $A_G \subseteq (S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G$ . To show  $B_G \subseteq (S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G$ , consider

$$M = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n^{p^m - 1}$$
 with  $M + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} \in B_G$ .

We consider the generators  $g_1, \ldots, g_n$  of G from Section 3; for k < n,

$$\begin{split} g_k^{-1}(M) + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} &= x_1^{a_1} \dots x_{k-1}^{a_{k-1}} (x_k + x_n)^{a_k} x_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} \dots x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n^{p^m - 1} + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} \\ &= x_1^{a_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n^{p^m - 1} + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} = M + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}, \end{split}$$

since the binomial theorem implies that all but the initial term lies in  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ . In addition, e divides  $p^m-1$ , so  $g_n$  fixes M. Hence  $B_G$  is G-invariant and thus  $A_G+B_G\subseteq (S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G$ .

To show the reverse containment, we first argue that any monomial M in the variables  $x_1,\ldots,x_n$  with  $\deg_{x_n}(M)=p^m-1$  represents a coset of  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  either in  $A_G$  or in  $B_G$ . Both  $A_G$  and  $B_G$  are closed under multiplication by  $f_1,\ldots,f_{n-1}$ , so we may assume without loss of generality that  $\deg_{x_i}(M)< p$  for i< n by Eq. (4.2). Let  $k=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\deg_{x_i}(M)\mod p$ . If  $k\geq 2$ , then  $M+\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  lies in  $B_G$  by definition. If k=0, then  $M=x_n^{p^m-1}=f_n^{e^{-1}(p^m-1)}$  and  $M+\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  lies in  $A_G$ . If k=1, then  $M+\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  lies in  $A_G$  as well since

$$-x_i x_n^{p^m - 1} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_n^{e^{-1}(p^m - p^j)} f_i^{p^{m-1-j}} \mod \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} \quad \text{for } i < n.$$

Hence  $M + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  lies in either  $A_G$  or  $B_G$ .

If the reverse containment fails, we may choose some  $f + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  in  $(S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G$  but not in  $A_G + B_G$  with f homogeneous in  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  and  $\mathrm{LM}_S(f)$  minimal. Note that  $\deg_{x_i}(\mathrm{LM}_S(f)) < p^m$  for all i. By the minimality assumption,  $\mathrm{LM}_S(f) + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  does not lie in  $A_G$  or  $B_G$ , so by the argument in the last paragraph,  $\deg_{x_n}(\mathrm{LM}_S(f)) < p^m - 1$ . By Lemma 6.2, the monomial  $\mathrm{LM}_S(f)$  lies in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x_1^p,\ldots,x_{n-1}^p,f_n]$ , so

$$LM_S(f) = x_1^{pc_1} x_2^{pc_2} \cdots x_{n-1}^{pc_2} x_n^{ec_n}$$
 for some  $c_i$ .

Define h by

$$h = \alpha f_1^{c_1} f_2^{c_2} \cdots f_{n-1}^{c_{n-1}} f_n^{c_n}, \quad \text{for $\alpha$ the leading coefficient of $f$}.$$

Then  $f - h + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  lies in  $(S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G$  since  $h + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  lies in  $A_G$ , and, by construction,  $\mathrm{LM}_S(h) = \mathrm{LM}_S(f)$ , implying that  $\mathrm{LM}_S(f - h) < \mathrm{LM}_S(f)$ . The minimality assumption

then implies that f - h must lie in  $A_G + B_G$ . However,  $A_G + B_G$  contains h already, so must contain f as well, contradicting our choice of f. Thus  $(S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G = A_G + B_G$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition 6.5.** Suppose the transvection root space of G is maximal. Then

$$\left(S_{\mathbf{m}^{[p^m]}}\right)^G = A_G \oplus B_G$$
.

*Proof.* By Proposition 6.4, we need only show  $A_G \cap B_G$  is trivial. If  $m \leq 1$ , a simple degree comparison shows  $A_G \cap B_G = \{0\}$ , hence we assume  $m \geq 2$ . Suppose  $A_G \cap B_G$  is non-trivial, say some f in  $S^G$  and  $h + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  in  $B_G$  satisfy

$$0 \neq f + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} = h + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} \in A_G \cap B_G.$$

We multiply f - h by  $f_n = x_n^e$  so that  $(f - h)f_n$  lies in  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} f_n$ . We will show that  $ff_n$  and  $hf_n$  have no monomials in the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  in common; this will force  $hf_n$  to lie in  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} f_n$ , contradicting the fact that h does not lie in  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ .

Fix some M in  $X_f \cap X_h$  for

 $X_f$  the set of monomials in  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of  $ff_n$ , and

 $X_h$  the set of monomials in  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of  $hf_n$ .

Since h lies in the ideal  $(x_n^{p^m-1})$  and  $e \geq 1$ , the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  contains  $hf_n$  and thus also  $ff_n = (f-h)f_n + hf_n$ . However,  $ff_n$  also lies in  $S^G$ , so  $ff_n$  lies in  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ . Proposition 4.9 then implies that M is a monomial of some  $S^G$ -multiple of  $h_0$ ,  $h_{1,a}$ , or  $h_{2,a,b}$  for some  $1 \leq a \leq b < n$  (see Definition 4.3) and we use Lemma 4.5 to expand in the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . Since h is not in  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  and M lies in  $X_h$ , Eq. (4.2) implies that

(6.6) 
$$\deg_{x_n}(M) = p^m + e - 1$$
 and

(6.7) 
$$\deg_{x_i}(M) = b_i \, p + a_i \text{ for some } b_i < p^{m-1}, a_i < p, \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \ge 2.$$

First, suppose M is a monomial of some polynomial in  $S^G h_0$ . For i < n, Lemma 4.5 and the binomial theorem imply that

$$\deg_{x_n}(M) = x_n^{p^m + e - 1 + c_n + (p-1)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} j_i} \qquad \text{for some } c_n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and}$$

$$\deg_{x_i}(M) = pc_i - (p-1)j_i = (c_i - j_i)p + j_i$$
 for some  $c_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \le j_i \le c_i$ .

But Eq. (6.6) implies that  $j_i = 0$  for all i < n and  $c_n = 0$ . Then p must divide  $\deg_{x_i}(M)$  for each  $1 \le i \le n$ , contradicting Eq. (6.7).

Second, suppose that M is a monomial of some polynomial in  $S^G h_{1,a}$  for some a < n. Without loss of generality, say a = 1. Then, for 1 < i < n,

$$\deg_{x_i}(M) = pc_i - (p-1)j_i$$
 for some  $c_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \le j_i \le c_i$ .

Furthermore, by Lemma 4.5,

$$\deg_{x_1}(M) = p^m + pc_1 - (p-1)j_1$$
 or  $\deg_{x_1}(M) = 1 + pc_1 - (p-1)j_1$ 

for some  $c_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 \leq j_1 \leq c_1$ . But Eq. (6.7) implies the latter case holds, and thus

$$\deg_{x_n}(M) = p^m + e - 1 + c_n + (p - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} j_i$$
 for some  $c_n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Again, Eq. (6.6) implies  $j_i = 0$  for all  $1 \le i < n$  and  $c_n = 0$ . However, this forces p to divide  $\deg_{x_i}(M)$  for  $2 \le i < n$  and  $\deg_{x_1}(M) = 1 + pc_1$ , contradicting Eq. (6.7).

Third, suppose that M is a monomial of some polynomial in  $S^G h_{2,a,b}$  for some pair a, b with  $1 \le a \le b < n$ . Eq. (6.7) implies that the degree of  $x_a$  or of  $x_b$  in each monomial of  $h_{2,a,b}$  is too high to contribute to M except the last monomial  $x_a^{p^{m-1}} x_b^{p^{m-1}} x_n^{2(p-1)p^{m-1}}$ , so we assume M is an  $S^G$ -multiple of that monomial. Since  $m \ge 2$ ,

$$\deg_{x_n}(M) = 2(p-1)p^{m-1} = p^m + (p-2)p^{m-1} \ge p^m + p > p^m + e - 1,$$

contradicting Eq. (6.6). This completes the proof.

**Example 6.8.** For  $G = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ , Proposition 6.5 implies that the space  $(S/\mathfrak{m}^{[5^m]})^G$  decomposes as

$$(S^G + \mathfrak{m}^{[5^m]}) /_{\mathfrak{m}^{[5^m]}} \, \oplus \, \mathbb{F}_5[f_1, f_2] - \mathrm{span} \{ x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} x_3^{5^m - 1} + \mathfrak{m}^{[5^m]} : a_i < p, \, a_1 + a_2 \ge 2 \} \, .$$

In the next result, we do not assume the transvection root space is maximal.

Corollary 6.9. For any group  $G \subset GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  fixing a hyperplane,

$$\left(S_{\mathbf{m}[p^m]}\right)^G = A_G \oplus B_G$$
.

*Proof.* We decompose the vector space V to separate out the trivial action: set

$$V_1 = \mathbb{C}\operatorname{-span}\{v_1, \dots, v_\ell, v_n\}$$
 and  $V_2 = \mathbb{C}\operatorname{-span}\{v_{\ell+1}, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ ,

and set  $S_1 = S(V_1^*) = \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_\ell, x_n]$  and  $S_2 = S(V_2^*) = \mathbb{F}_p[x_{\ell+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ . Likewise, set  $\mathfrak{m}_1^{[p^m]} = (x_1^{p^m}, \dots, x_\ell^{p^m}, x_n^{p^m})$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_2^{[p^m]} = (x_{\ell+1}^{p^m}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{p^m})$ . Then G is the direct sum  $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$  for  $G_i = G|_{V_i}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} = (\mathfrak{m}_1^{[p^m]}, \mathfrak{m}_2^{[p^m]})$ . By Proposition 6.5,  $(S_1/\mathfrak{m}_1^{[p^m]})^{G_1} = A_{G_1} \oplus B_{G_1}$ . Since  $G_2$  acts trivially on  $V_2$ , we may set  $A_{G_2} = (\mathbb{F}_p[v_{\ell+1}, \dots, v_{n-1}] + \mathfrak{m}_2^{[p^m]})/\mathfrak{m}_2^{[p^m]}$  and  $B_{G_2} = \{0\}$ . The graded isomorphism  $S \cong S_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} S_2$  induces a graded isomorphism

$$S_{\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}} \cong S_{1/\mathfrak{m}_1^{[p^m]}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} S_{2/\mathfrak{m}_2^{[p^m]}}$$

and induces graded vector space isomorphisms

$$\left(S_{\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}}\right)^G \cong \left(S_{1/\mathfrak{m}_1^{[p^m]}}\right)^{G_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(S_{2/\mathfrak{m}_2^{[p^m]}}\right)^{G_2} \cong \left(A_{G_1} \oplus B_{G_1}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} A_{G_2} \cong A_G \oplus B_G.$$

The result follows since  $A_G + B_G \subset (S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G$ .

### 7. HILBERT SERIES FOR MAXIMAL TRANSVECTION ROOT SPACES

Again, we assume throughout this section that G is a subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  fixing a hyperplane H and e is the maximal order of a semisimple element of G. We assume the root space of G is maximal to avoid excessive notation arising from a trivial action of G on extra variables. By Proposition 6.5,  $(S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G$  is a direct sum  $A_G \oplus B_G$  with

invariant subspace  $A_G$  described in Sections 4 and 5. For ease with notation, we fix a basis of V as in Section 3 and describe here

$$B_G = \mathbb{F}_p[f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}] \operatorname{-span} \{ x_1^{a_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n^{p^m - 1} + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} : 0 \le a_i < p, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \ge 2 \}.$$

**Lemma 7.1.** Suppose the transvection root space of G is maximal. Then

$$Hilb(B_G, t) = t^{p^m - 1} \left( \left( \frac{1 - t^p}{1 - t} \right)^{n - 1} - (n - 1)t - 1 \right) \left( \frac{1 - t^{p^m}}{1 - t^p} \right)^{n - 1}.$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $B_G = \mathbb{F}_p[f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}]$ -span  $C \cong \mathbb{F}_p[f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} C$  as a graded vector space by Eq. (4.2), where

$$C = \mathbb{F}_{p}\text{-span}\{x_{1}^{a_{1}} \dots x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_{n}^{p^{m}-1} + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^{m}]} : 0 \le a_{i} < p, \ a_{1} + \dots + a_{n-1} \ge 2\}.$$

Since  $\deg f_i = p$  for i < n

$$\text{Hilb}(B_G, t) = \left(\frac{1 - t^{p^m}}{1 - t^p}\right)^{n-1} \cdot \text{Hilb}(C, t) 
= \left(\frac{1 - t^{p^m}}{1 - t^p}\right)^{n-1} t^{p^m - 1} \left(\left(\frac{1 - t^p}{1 - t}\right)^{n-1} - (n - 1)t - 1\right),$$

with subtracted terms arising from the restriction  $a_1 + \ldots + a_{n-1} \ge 2$ .

**Theorem 7.2.** Suppose the transvection root space of G is maximal. Then

$$\text{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{\mathbf{m}}[p^m]\right)^G, \ t\right) = \left(\frac{1 - t^{p^m}}{1 - t^p}\right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{1 - t^{p^m - 1}}{1 - t^e}\right) + t^{p^m - 1} \left(\frac{1 - t^{p^m}}{1 - t}\right)^{n-1}.$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 6.5,  $(S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G = A_G \oplus B_G$ , and the theorem follows from adding the Hilbert series for  $A_G$  and  $B_G$  given in Lemmas 5.1 and 7.1 and simplifying.

**Example 7.3.** For our archetype example,  $G = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ 

$$B_G = \mathbb{F}_5[f_1, f_2] - \operatorname{span}\{x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} x_3^{5^m - 1} + \mathfrak{m}^{[5^m]} : a_i < p, \ a_1 + a_2 \ge 2\}$$

and Lemma 7.1 implies that

$$Hilb(B_G, t) = t^{5^m - 1} \left( \left( \frac{1 - t^5}{1 - t} \right)^2 - 2t - 1 \right) \left( \frac{1 - t^{5^m}}{1 - t^5} \right)^2.$$

By Theorem 7.2, the Hilbert series of  $(S/\mathfrak{m}^{[5^m]})^G$  is

$$\left(\frac{1-t^{5^m}}{1-t^5}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1-t^{5^m-1}}{1-t^e}\right) + t^{5^m-1} \left(\frac{1-t^{5^m}}{1-t}\right)^2.$$

We record an alternate expression for the Hilbert series in Theorem 7.2:

Corollary 7.4. Suppose the transvection root space of G is maximal. Then

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{m}[p^{m}]\right)^{G}, t\right) = \operatorname{Hilb}(S^{G}, t)(1 - t^{p^{m}})^{n-1}\left(1 - t^{p^{m}-1} + (1 - t^{e})t^{p^{m}-1}\left(\frac{1 - t^{p}}{1 - t}\right)^{n-1}\right) \\
= \left(\frac{1 - t^{p^{m}}}{1 - t^{p}}\right)^{n-1}\left(\left(\frac{1 - t^{p^{m}-1}}{1 - t^{e}}\right) + t^{p^{m}-1}\left(\frac{1 - t^{p}}{1 - t}\right)^{n-1}\right).$$

### 8. HILBERT SERIES FOR ARBITRARY GROUP FIXING A HYPERPLANE

Again, we assume G is a subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  fixing a hyperplane H and set  $\ell = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{RootSpace}(G)) \cap H$ , the dimension of the transvection root space of G, and e the maximal order of a semisimple element of G.

**Theorem 8.1.** Suppose G is a subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  fixing a hyperplane. Then

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{/\mathfrak{m}}[p^m]\right)^G,\ t\right) &=\ \left(\frac{1-t^{p^m}}{1-t}\right)^{n-\ell-1} \, \left(\frac{1-t^{p^m}}{1-t^p}\right)^{\ell} \, \left(\left(\frac{1-t^{p^m-1}}{1-t^e}\right) + t^{p^m-1} \left(\frac{1-t^p}{1-t}\right)^{\ell}\right) \\ &=\ \left(\frac{1-t^{p^m}}{1-t}\right)^{n-\ell-1} \left(\frac{1-t^{p^m}}{1-t^p}\right)^{\ell} \left(\frac{1-t^{p^m-1}}{1-t^e}\right) + t^{p^m-1} \left(\frac{1-t^{p^m}}{1-t}\right)^{n-1} \\ &=\ \operatorname{Hilb}(S^G,t) \, (1-t^{p^m})^{n-1} \left((1-t^{p^m-1}) + t^{p^m-1} (1-t^e) \left(\frac{1-t^p}{1-t}\right)^{\ell}\right). \end{split}$$

*Proof.* We write  $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$ ,  $S = S_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} S_2$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} = (\mathfrak{m}_1^{[p^m]}, \mathfrak{m}_2^{[p^m]})$  as in the proof of Corollary 6.9 and use the graded isomorphism

$$\left(S_{\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}}\right)^G \cong \left(S_{1/\mathfrak{m}_1^{[p^m]}}\right)^{G_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(S_{2/\mathfrak{m}_2^{[p^m]}}\right)^{G_2}.$$

Since  $G_2$  acts trivially on  $V_2$  of dimension  $n - \ell - 1$ 

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}^{[p^{m}]}}\right)^{G_{2}},\ t\right)\ =\ \left(\frac{1-t^{p^{m}}}{1-t}\right)^{n-\ell-1}.$$

Since  $G_1$  has maximal transvection root space in  $V_1$ , Corollary 7.4 implies that

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{\mathbf{m}_{2}^{[p^{m}]}}\right)^{G_{1}},\ t\right)\ =\ \left(\frac{1-t^{p^{m}}}{1-t}\right)^{n-\ell-1}\,\left(\frac{1-t^{p^{m}}}{1-t^{p}}\right)^{\ell}\,\left(\left(\frac{1-t^{p^{m}-1}}{1-t^{e}}\right)+t^{p^{m}-1}\left(\frac{1-t^{p}}{1-t}\right)^{\ell}\right).$$

The theorem then follows from taking the product of the two Hilbert series above.  $\Box$ 

**Example 8.2.** Say  $G = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ , a group without maximal transvection root space, acting on  $V = (\mathbb{F}_5)^3$  for an e-th root-of-unity  $\omega$  in  $\mathbb{F}_5$ . Theorem 8.1 implies

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{\mathfrak{m}^{[5^m]}}\right)^G,\ t\right) = \left(\frac{1-t^{5^m}}{1-t}\right)\,\left(\frac{1-t^{5^m}}{1-t^5}\right)\,\left(\left(\frac{1-t^{5^m-1}}{1-t^e}\right) + t^{5^m-1}\left(\frac{1-t^5}{1-t}\right)\right)\,.$$

We take the limit as t approaches 1 in Theorem 8.1 to obtain the dimension:

Corollary 8.3. Suppose G is a subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  fixing a hyperplane. The dimension of  $(S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^G$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space is

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left( S_{\mathbf{m}}[p^m] \right)^G = p^{m(n-1)} + p^{m(n-1)-\ell} \left( \frac{p^m-1}{e} \right).$$

**Remark 8.4.** Note that the Hilbert series in Theorem 8.1 agrees with the series we expect in the nonmodular case. Indeed, when all the reflections in G are semisimple,  $\ell=0$ , and the theorem implies that

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{\mathbf{m}}[p^m]\right)^G,\ t\right)\ = \frac{(1-t^{p^m})^{n-1}(1-t^{p^m+e-1})}{(1-t)^{n-1}(1-t^e)}\,.$$

The basic invariants have degrees  $1, \ldots, 1, e$  in this case and the series above describes

$$\left( S_{\mathfrak{m}}[p^m] \right)^G \ = \ \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^e] / (x_1^{p^m}, \dots, x_n^{p^m}) \ .$$

Compare with [9, Example 1.4].

**Remark 8.5.** At the other end of the extreme, consider the case when G contains no semisimple elements. In this case, e = 1 and  $\ell$  is just the minimum number of generators of G. Theorem 8.1 implies that

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{\mathbf{m}}[p^m]\right)^G, \ t\right) = \frac{(1-t^{p^m})^{n-1}(1-t^{p^m-1})}{(1-t^p)^{\ell}(1-t)^{n-\ell}} + t^{p^m-1}\left(\frac{1-t^{p^m}}{1-t}\right)^{n-1}.$$

### 9. Full pointwise stabilizer in the general linear group

In this section, we consider the full pointwise stabilizer  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)_H$  in  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  of an arbitrary hyperplane H in  $V = (\mathbb{F}_p)^n$ . For any H, the group  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)_H$  is generated by  $\ell = n - 1$  transvections together with a semisimple reflection of order e = p - 1, so Theorem 8.1 gives Theorem 1.1 as a corollary, restated here with additional expressions:

Corollary 9.1. Let H be any hyperplane in  $V = \mathbb{F}_p^n$  and set  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)_H$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Hilb}\left(\left(S_{\mathbf{m}}[p^m]\right)^G,\ t\right) &= \left(\frac{1-t^{p^m}}{1-t^p}\right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{1-t^{p^m-1}}{1-t^{p-1}} + t^{p^m-1} \left(\frac{1-t^p}{1-t}\right)^{n-1}\right) \\ &= \operatorname{Hilb}(S^G,\ t)(1-t^{p^m})^{n-1} \left(1-t^{p^m-1} + (1-t^{p-1})t^{p^m-1} \left(\frac{1-t^p}{1-t}\right)^{n-1}\right) \\ &= [p^{m-1}]_{t^p}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{p,t} + t^{p^m-1}[p^m]_t^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{p,t}. \end{split}$$

Orbits and the dimension of the invariant space. The conjecture of Lewis, Reiner, and Stanton [9] giving the Hilbert series for the  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -invariants in  $S/\mathfrak{m}^{[q^m]}$  specializes to a conjecture for the dimension of the invariants as an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space. They show this specialization gives the number of orbits for  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  acting on the vector space  $V' = (\mathbb{F}_{q^m})^n$ , see [9, Section 7.1 and Theorem 6.16].

Our Corollary 8.3 gives the dimension of the G-invariants in  $S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for any group G fixing a hyperplane. Below we prove that this integer gives the number of orbits for G as a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  acting on on the vector space  $V' = (\mathbb{F}_{p^m})^n$  (with canonical coordinate-wise action induced from the embedding  $\mathbb{F}_p \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ ). This result thus proves a special case of the conjecture of Lewis, Staton, and Reiner, namely, the dimension of G-invariants in  $S/\mathfrak{m}^{[q^m]}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  counts G-orbits in  $(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})^n$ .

In the next corollary,  $\ell = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\operatorname{RootSpace}(G)) \cap H$  as usual with e the maximal order of a semisimple element of G.

**Corollary 9.2.** Suppose  $G \leq \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is a reflection group fixing a hyperplane H in  $V = (\mathbb{F}_p)^n$ . The number of orbits of points in  $V' = (\mathbb{F}_{p^m})^n$  under the action of G is equal to the dimension over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of the G-invariants in  $S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ :

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left( \frac{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}[p^m] \right)^G = p^{m(n-1)} + p^{m(n-1)-\ell} \left( \frac{p^m - 1}{e} \right) = \# \text{ orbits of } G \text{ on } (\mathbb{F}_{p^m})^n.$$

*Proof.* Corollary 8.3 records the dimension; we count orbits here. Let H' be the image of H under the coordinate-wise embedding  $V \hookrightarrow V'$ . Choose a basis  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of  $(V')^*$  dual to the standard coordinate basis as in Section 3 with  $H' = \operatorname{Ker} x_n$  in V'. The number of points with orbit size 1 is the number of points on the hyperplane H', namely,  $(p^m)^{n-1}$ .

Two points v and u lying in the complement  $(H')^c$  of H' in V' lie in the same G-orbit if and only if  $x_i(v) = x_i(u)$  for  $i \leq \ell$  and  $x_n(u)$  lies in  $\mathbb{F}_p x_1(v) + \cdots + \mathbb{F}_p x_\ell(v) + \langle \omega \rangle x_n(v)$  for  $\omega$  a primitive e-th root-of-unity in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Thus a fixed v in  $(H')^c$  has orbit size  $p^{\ell}e$  whereas  $|(H')^c| = (p^m)^{n-1}(p^m - 1)$  and

# of orbits in 
$$(H')^c = \frac{|(H')^c|}{\text{size of an orbit in } (H')^c} = p^{m(n-1)-\ell} \left(\frac{p-1}{e}\right).$$

The total number of orbits for  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)_H$  acting on  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  is then

# of orbits = (# orbits on 
$$H'$$
) + (# orbits on  $(H')^c$ )  
=  $p^{m(n-1)} + p^{m(n-1)-\ell} \left(\frac{p^m - 1}{e}\right)$ .

We take e = p - 1 and  $\ell = n - 1$  in Corollary 9.2 to count orbits under the full pointwise stabilizer subgroup of an arbitrary hyperplane, obtaining Corollary 1.2.

**Corollary 9.3.** The number of orbits of points in  $(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})^n$  under the action of the full pointwise stabilizer  $G = (\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p))_H$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  of a hyperplane H in  $(\mathbb{F}_p)^n$  is

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left( S_{\mathbf{m}^{[p^m]}} \right)^G = p^{m(n-1)} + p^{(m-1)(n-1)} \, \left( \frac{p^m-1}{p-1} \right) = p^{m(n-1)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_p + p^{(m-1)(n-1)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_p.$$

### 10. Lewis, Reiner, and Stanton Conjecture

We use our results in previous sections to bound the exponents of  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  in any invariant of  $S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  under the full general linear group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

**Proposition 10.1.** Say  $f + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} \in (S/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})^{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)}$ . For any monomial  $M \notin \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  in  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of f, either  $M = x_1^{p^m-1} x_2^{p^m-1} \cdots x_n^{p^m-1}$  or  $\deg_{x_i}(M) \leq p^m - p$  for all i.

*Proof.* We may assume f is homogeneous in  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  with no monomials lying in  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ . By Lemma 6.2 with e = p - 1 and hyperplane  $H = \operatorname{Ker} x_n$  with ordering  $x_1 > \cdots > x_n$ ,

$$LM(f) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n^{p^m - 1}]$$
 or  $LM(f) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_1^p, \dots, x_{n-1}^p, x_n^{p-1}]$ .

First suppose  $\deg_{x_n}(\mathrm{LM}(f)) = p^m - 1$ . The element  $f + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ , and hence f itself, is invariant under the action of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  permuting the variables as a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . This forces  $\mathrm{LM}(f) = x_1^{p^m-1} \cdots x_n^{p^m-1} = f$ , as f is homogeneous.

Now assume  $\deg_{x_n}(\operatorname{LM}(f)) \neq p^m - 1$ , so that p divides  $\deg_{x_1}(\operatorname{LM}(f))$ . Since f is invariant under the diagonal reflection with  $x_1 \mapsto \omega x_1$  for  $\omega$  a primitive (p-1)-th root-of-unity, (p-1) also divides  $\deg_{x_1}(\operatorname{LM}(f))$ . Therefore, p(p-1) divides  $\deg_{x_1}(\operatorname{LM}(f))$  and  $\deg_{x_1}(\operatorname{LM}(f)) \leq p^m - p$ . Then  $\deg_{x_1}(M) \leq p^m - p$  for any monomial M of f. As f is  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -invariant,  $\deg_{x_i}(M) \leq p^m - p$  for all i as well.  $\square$ 

The previous proposition gives a bound on coefficients of the Hilbert series. Let HF be the Hilbert function,  $HF(M, i) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} M_i$ , for any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space  $M = \bigoplus M_i$ .

Corollary 10.2. We give a bound on the Hilbert function of  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ -invariants:

$$\operatorname{HF}\left(\left(\frac{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}[p^{m}]\right)^{\operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{F}_{p})}, n(p^{m}-1)\right) = 1 \quad and$$

$$\operatorname{HF}\left(\left(\frac{S}_{\mathfrak{m}}[p^{m}]\right)^{\operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{F}_{p})}, i\right) \leq \operatorname{HF}\left(\frac{S}_{(x_{1}^{p^{m}-p+1}, \dots, x_{n}^{p^{m}-p+1})}, i\right) \quad for \ i \neq n(p^{m}-1).$$

## 11. Two dimensional vector spaces

We now consider the 2-dimensional case and take a group G of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  fixing a hyperplane (line) of  $V = (\mathbb{F}_p)^2$  pointwise. Here,  $\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} := (x_1^{p^m}, x_2^{p^m})$ . We give a resolution of  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  directly using syzygies, providing an alternate direct computation for the Hilbert series of  $A_G = (S^G + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ . For any graded module M, we write M[i] for the graded module with degrees shifted down by i so that  $M[i]_d = M_{i+d}$ .

**Nonmodular Setting.** If G contains no transvections, then  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  is generated by  $h = f_1^{p^m}$  and  $h' = f_2^{1+e^{-1}(p^m-1)}$  and we obtain an easy resolution for  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ ,

$$0 \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{[\tau]} F_0 \xrightarrow{[h\ h']} S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $F_1 = S^G[-(2p^m + e - 1)]$  and  $F_0 = S^G[-p^m] \oplus S^G[-(p^m + e - 1)]$  with relation  $\tau = f_2^{1+e^{-1}(p^m-1)}h - f_1^{p^m}h'$ . This gives Hilbert series

$$\mathrm{Hilb}(S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]},\ t) = \frac{t^{p^m} + t^{p^m + e - 1} - t^{2p^m + e - 1}}{(1 - t^e)(1 - t)} = \mathrm{Hilb}(S^G,\ t)(t^{p^m} + t^{p^m + e - 1} - t^{2p^m + e - 1})\ .$$

**Modular setting.** Suppose now that G contains a transvection. After conjugation,  $G = \langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$  for some root-of-unity  $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_p$  of order  $e \geq 1$ . Here,

$$S^G = \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, x_2]^G = \mathbb{F}_p[f_1, f_2]$$
 for  $f_1 = x_1^p - x_1 x_2^{p-1}$  and  $f_2 = x_2^e$ .

The Groebner basis

$$h_0 = f_2^{1+e^{-1}(p^m-1)}, \quad h_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f_2^{1+e^{-1}(p^m-p^{m-k})} f_1^{p^{m-k-1}}, \quad h_2 = f_1^{2p^{m-1}}$$

(see Definition 4.3) of the ideal  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  in the polynomial ring  $S^G$  is small enough to directly provide a manageable resolution of  $S^G/S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$ , which we record below.

**Proposition 11.1.** For G a subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  containing a transvection, a graded free resolution of the  $S^G$ -module  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  is

$$0 \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{[\tau_{0,1} \ \tau_{1,2}]} F_0 \xrightarrow{[h_0 \ h_1 \ h_2]} S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} \longrightarrow 0$$

for

$$F_0 = S^G [-(p^m + e - 1)] \oplus S^G [-(p^m + e)] \oplus S^G [-2p^m],$$
 and  $F_1 = S^G [-(2p^m + e)] \oplus S^G [-(2p^m + e - 1)].$ 

*Proof.* Buchberger's algorithm gives generators for the first syzygy-module in  $(S^G)^3$  for  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} = (h_0, h_1, h_2)$ , namely,

$$\begin{split} &\tau_{0,1} = (-f_1^{p^{m-1}} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} f_1^{p^{m-k-1}} f_2^{e^{-1}(p^m - p^k)}, \ f_2^{e^{-1}(p^m - 1)}, \ 0) \\ &\tau_{0,2} = (f_1^{2p^{m-1}}, \ 0, \ -f_2^{1+e^{-1}(p^m - 1)}), \qquad \text{and} \\ &\tau_{1,2} = (-\sum_{j,k=1}^{m-1} f_1^{p^{m-j-1} + p^{m-k-1}} f_2^{e^{-1}(p^m - p^k - p^j + 1)}, \ -f_1^{p^{m-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} f_1^{p^{m-k-1}} f_2^{e^{-1}(p^m - p^k)}, \ f_2) \,. \end{split}$$

But  $\tau_{0,2}$  is redundant as

$$\tau_{0,2} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} f_1^{p^{m-k-1}} f_2^{e^{-1}(p^m - p^k)} \tau_{0,1} - f_1^{p^{m-1}}\right) \tau_{0,1} - f_2^{e^{-1}(p^m - p^k)} \tau_{1,2},$$

and the first syzygy-module is generated over  $S^G$  by just  $\tau_{1,2}$  and  $\tau_{0,1}$ . As these are linearly independent over  $S^G$ , the second syzygy-module is trivial, and the result follows.

This gives an easy proof of Proposition 5.1 in the modular 2-dimensional setting:

Corollary 11.2. For G a subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  fixing a hyperplane in  $V = (\mathbb{F}_p)^2$  and containing a transvection,

$$\operatorname{Hilb}\left((S^G + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}) /_{\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}}, t\right) = \operatorname{Hilb}(S^G, t)(1 - t^{p^m})(1 + t^{p^m} - t^{p^m + e - 1} - t^{p^m + e}).$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 11.1, the Hilbert series for  $S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}$  is just the series for  $F_1$  subtracted from that for  $F_0$ . The proposition then follows from using the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]} \longrightarrow S^G \longrightarrow S^G /_{\left(S^G \cap \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}\right)} \cong {(S^G + \mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]})} /_{\mathfrak{m}^{[p^m]}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

### References

- [1] D. Armstrong, V. Reiner, B. Rhoades, Parking spaces, Adv. Math., 269 (2015), 647–706.
- [2] Y. Berest, P. Etingof, and V. Ginzburg, Finite-dimensional representations of rational Cherednik algebras, Int. Math. Res. Not. 19 (2003), 1053–1088.
- [3] D. Bessis and V. Reiner, Cyclic sieving of noncrossing partitions for complex reflection groups, Ann. Comb. 15 (2011), no. 2, 197–222.
- [4] D. Eisenbud, Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, xvi+785.
- [5] I. Gordon, On the quotient ring by diagonal invariants, Invent. Math., 153 (2003), 503-518.
- [6] I. Gordon and S. Griffeth, Catalan numbers for complex reflection groups, Amer. J. Math. 134 (2012), no. 6, 1491–1502.
- [7] J. Hartmann and A.V. Shepler, Jacobians of reflection groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, 360 (2008), 123–133.
- [8] C. Krattenthaler, T. Müller, Cyclic sieving for generalised non-crossing partitions associated with complex reflection groups of exceptional type. In Advances in combinatorics, 209–247, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

- [9] J. Lewis, V. Reiner and D. Stanton, Invariants of  $GL_n(F_q)$  in polynomials mod Frobenius powers, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 147 (2017), 831–873.
- [10] E. Lucas, Sur les congruences des nombres eulériens et les coefficients différentiels des functions trigonométriques suivant un module premier, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 6 (1878), 49–54.
- [11] V. Reiner and D. Stanton, (q, t)-analogues and  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , J. Algebraic Combin. 31 (2010), 411–454.
- [12] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 49, With a forward by G. Rota, Corrected reprint of the 1986 original, Cambridge University Press, 1997, xii+325.
- [13] C. Stump, q,t-Fuβ-Catalan numbers for finite reflection groups, J. Algebr. Comb. (2010) 32, 67–97.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS, DENTON, TEXAS 76203, USA *Email address*: chelseadrescher@my.unt.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS, DENTON, TEXAS 76203, USA *Email address*: ashepler@unt.edu