

# The structure of Siegel modular forms modulo $p$ and $U(p)$ congruences

MARTIN RAUM AND OLAV K. RICHTER

We determine the ring structure of Siegel modular forms of degree  $g$  modulo a prime  $p$ , extending Nagaoka’s result in the case of degree  $g = 2$ . We characterize  $U(p)$  congruences of Jacobi forms and Siegel modular forms, and surprisingly find different behaviors of Siegel modular forms of even and odd degrees.

## 1. Introduction and statement of main results

Serre [29] and Swinnerton-Dyer’s [33] theory of modular forms modulo a prime  $p$  has impacted different research areas. In particular, it has been the basis of beautiful results on congruences of Fourier series coefficients of modular forms. Ono [24] gives a good overview of the subject, and he highlights several applications of congruences that involve Atkin’s  $U$ -operator (see also Ahlgren and Ono [1], Elkies, Ono, and Yang [9], and Guerzhoy [11]). Siegel modular forms modulo a prime  $p$  have conjectural connections to special values of  $L$ -functions (as predicted by Harder [12] for degree 2 and Katsumada [16] for general degree), and one wishes for a better understanding of such Siegel modular forms. Nagaoka [22, 23] establishes the ring structure of Siegel modular forms of degree 2 modulo a prime  $p$ . Böcherer and Nagaoka [3, 4] and Ichikawa [13] provide tools to study the ring of Siegel modular forms of degree  $g$  modulo a prime  $p$ , but the structure of that ring has not been determined if  $g > 2$ . In this paper, we fill this gap by providing a structure theorem for the ring of Siegel modular forms of arbitrary degree modulo a prime  $p$ . Let us introduce necessary notation to state our result.

Throughout,  $k, g \geq 1$  are integers, and  $p \geq 5$  is a prime. We write  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for the field  $\mathbb{Z} / p\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  for the localization of  $\mathbb{Z}$  at the principal ideal  $(p)$ , and we call it the ring of  $p$ -integral rationals. Let  $M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11F33, 11F46; Secondary 11F50.

Key words and phrases: Siegel modular forms modulo  $p$ , theta cycles and  $U(p)$  congruences, Jacobi forms modulo  $p$ .

of Siegel modular forms of degree  $g$ , weight  $k$ , and with  $p$ -integral rational coefficients, and  $M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  (defined in (3.1)) the vector space of Fourier expansions of weight  $k$  modular forms modulo  $p$ . Let  $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n$  be generators of  $M_\bullet^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ , and consider the abstract isomorphism

$$(1.1) \quad M_\bullet^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / C, \quad \Phi_i \mapsto x_i,$$

where  $C \subset \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is an ideal. The right hand side of (1.1) carries a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading which is induced by the weight grading on the left hand side. This grading is inherited by

$$\mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (C \otimes \mathbb{F}_p) = (\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / C) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p.$$

We always write  $B \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / C$  for the polynomial that corresponds under the isomorphism (1.1) to the weight  $p-1$  Siegel modular form  $\Psi_{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  constructed by Böcherer and Nagaoka [3].

Our first main theorem extends the known ring structures of Siegel modular forms modulo  $p$  of degree  $g=1$  ([33]) and  $g=2$  ([22]) to arbitrary degree  $g$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $p \geq g+3$ . We have*

$$\begin{aligned} M_\bullet^{(g)}(\mathbb{F}_p) &\cong (M_\bullet^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p) / \langle 1 - \Psi_{p-1} \rangle \\ &\cong \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (C + \langle 1 - B \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle 1 - B \rangle$  and  $\langle 1 - \Psi_{p-1} \rangle$  are the principal ideals generated by  $1 - B$  and  $1 - \Psi_{p-1}$ , respectively.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is not a mere generalization of the results in [22], and relies on first developing properties of Jacobi forms of higher degree modulo  $p$ .

There are congruences between Siegel modular forms of different weights, and it is desirable to determine the smallest weight in which such a congruence takes place. This leads to the notion of the filtration  $\omega(\cdot)$  of Siegel modular forms (for details, see Section 3.3), which is described in the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $p \geq g+3$ . If  $\Phi \in M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  with corresponding polynomial  $A$  under (1.1), then  $\omega(\Phi) = k$  if and only if  $A$  is not divisible by  $B$ .*

Now we turn the attention to another main point of this paper. Tate's theory of theta cycles (see §7 of [15]) yields a criterion for the existence

of  $U(p)$  congruences of modular forms. That concept has been applied to Jacobi forms ([27, 28]) and to Siegel modular forms of degree 2 ([5]). In this paper, we extend [5] to the case of general degree  $g$ . Specifically, we explore theta cycles of Siegel modular forms of degree  $g$ , which allows us to determine conditions on the existence of  $U(p)$  congruences of such Siegel modular forms. We state our result after introducing more notation.

For a variable  $Z = (z_{ij})$  in the Siegel upper half space of degree  $g$  set  $\partial_Z := \left( \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta_{ij}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{ij}} \right)$ . The generalized theta operator

$$\mathbb{D} := (2\pi i)^{-g} \det \partial_Z$$

acts on Fourier series expansions of Siegel modular forms as follows:

$$\mathbb{D} \left( \sum_{T={}^t T \geq 0} c(T) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(TZ)} \right) = \sum_{T={}^t T \geq 0} \det(T) c(T) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(TZ)},$$

where  $\operatorname{tr}$  denotes the trace,  ${}^t T$  is the transpose of  $T$ , and where the sum is over all symmetric, semi-positive definite, and half-integral  $g \times g$  matrices with integral diagonal entries. Moreover, an analog of Atkin's  $U$ -operator for Siegel modular forms is defined as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad \left( \sum_{T={}^t T \geq 0} c(T) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(TZ)} \right) \Big| U^{(g)}(p) := \sum_{\substack{T={}^t T \geq 0 \\ p \mid \det T}} c(T) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(TZ)}.$$

### Remark 1.3.

- (1) Note that the operator in (1.2) is different from the Hecke operator in [2]. In particular, if  $g = 1$ , then  $U^{(1)}(p)$  is not identical to Atkin's  $U$ -operator. However, in this paper we only focus on  $U^{(g)}(p)$  congruences modulo  $p$ , and we do not study further properties of the operator itself. Especially, if  $\phi \in M_k^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  then  $\phi | U^{(g)}(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  if and only if  $\phi$  is in the kernel of Atkin's original  $U$ -operator modulo  $p$ .
- (2) The fact that the operator in (1.2) is different from the Hecke operator in [2] implies that this paper is not directly related to  $p$ -adic Hodge theory as in [17] and work thereafter. Instead, Definition (1.2) is a generalization of  $U(p)$  congruences that allows for applications in combinatorics (see, for example, [24]).

Our second main theorem gives a criterion for the existence of  $U^{(g)}(p)$  congruences of Siegel modular forms of degree  $g$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $p \geq \max(k, g + 3)$ . Suppose that  $\Phi \in M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  has a Fourier-Jacobi coefficient  $\Phi_M$  (details can be found in Sections 3.1) such that  $p \nmid \det(2M)$  and  $\Phi_M \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .*

a) *Let  $g$  be odd. Then*

$$\omega\left(\mathbb{D}^{p+1-k+\frac{g-1}{2}}(\Phi)\right) = \begin{cases} 2p + 1 + g - k, & \text{if } \Phi \mid U^{(g)}(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}; \\ p + 2 + g - k, & \text{if } \Phi \mid U^{(g)}(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

b) *Let  $g$  be even and  $k > \frac{g+4}{2}$ .*

- i) *If  $p > 2k - g - 3$ , then  $\Phi \mid U^{(g)}(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .*
- ii) *If  $p < 2k - g - 3$ , then*

$$\omega\left(\mathbb{D}^{\frac{3p+g+1}{2}-k}(\Phi)\right) = \begin{cases} 3p + 1 + g - k, & \text{if } \Phi \mid U^{(g)}(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}; \\ 2p + 2 + g - k, & \text{if } \Phi \mid U^{(g)}(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.4 reduces to Tate's original result (see §7 of [15]) if  $g = 1$ , and to the main result of [5] if  $g = 2$ . It is remarkable that the parity of  $g$  dictates the criterion. If  $g$  is even, then the result is stronger, since one can exclude  $U^{(g)}(p)$  congruences modulo  $p$  for almost all primes  $p$ . A similar phenomenon occurs in the case of Jacobi forms of higher degree (see Theorem 2.17), which we illuminate in Remark 2.18.

This paper naturally divides into two parts: Section 2 is on Jacobi forms and Section 3 is on Siegel modular forms. We often consider the Fourier-Jacobi expansions of Siegel modular forms and apply our findings from Section 2 to prove results in Section 3. In Section 2.1, we give some background on Jacobi forms. In Section 2.2, we establish properties of Jacobi forms on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^l$  modulo  $p$ , which extend results of Sofer [31] and [28] on the  $l = 1$  case. This generalization is somewhat intricate, since (unlike the  $l = 1$  case) there is no explicit basis for the ring of Jacobi forms of higher degree. In Section 2.3, we show that the  $M_{\bullet}^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ -module  $J_{\bullet, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  (defined in Section 2.3) is free for all  $p \geq 5$ . In Section 2.4, we extend [27] to Jacobi forms of higher degree. In particular, we prove Theorem 2.17, which characterizes  $U^J(p)$  congruences of Jacobi forms on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^l$ . In Section 2.5, we illustrate Theorem 2.17 with explicit examples. In Section 3.1, we give some background on Siegel modular forms. In Section 3.2, we explore Siegel modular forms modulo  $p$ . In Section 3.3, we study the structure of Siegel

modular forms modulo  $p$ , and we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3.4, we investigate theta cycles of Siegel modular forms. We prove Proposition 3.7, which describes the relation of the weight filtration and the theta operator. The proof of Proposition 3.7 relies on many results of this paper, and in particular, it depends on Corollary 1.2, Theorem 2.14, and Proposition 2.15. Theorem 1.4 follows from Proposition 3.7. Finally, in Section 3.5, we apply Theorem 1.4 in the case of the Schottky form  $J_4$ , which (up to normalization) is the unique Siegel cusp form of weight 8 and degree 4. We find that  $J_4 \mid U^{(4)}(7) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ , while  $J_4 \mid U^{(4)}(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for  $p = 5$  and  $p > 7$ .

## 2. Jacobi forms

### 2.1. Preliminaries

Throughout,  $M$  is a symmetric, positive definite, half-integral  $l \times l$  matrix with integral diagonal entries. Let  $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{C}$  be the usual complex upper half plane. Eichler and Zagier [8] systematically study Jacobi forms on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ , and Ziegler [34] introduces Jacobi forms of higher degree. Set  $\Gamma^J := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^{2l}$  and let  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma^J$  be a subgroup. Given a ring  $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , let  $M_k^{(1)}(R)$  be the space of elliptic modular forms of weight  $k$  with coefficients in  $R$ , and let  $J_{k,M}(\Gamma, R)$  be the space of Jacobi forms of higher degree on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^l$  of weight  $k$  and index  $M$  whose Fourier series coefficients at any cusp are contained in  $R$ . If  $l = 1$ , then we always write  $J_{k,m}(\Gamma, R)$  with  $m := M \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We suppress  $\Gamma$ , if  $\Gamma = \Gamma^J$ , and  $R$ , if  $R = \mathbb{C}$ . Recall that  $\phi \in J_{k,M}$  satisfies the transformation law

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi \left( \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z + \lambda\tau + \mu}{c\tau + d} \right) \\ = (c\tau + d)^k \exp \left( 2\pi i \left( \frac{cM[z + \lambda\tau + \mu]}{c\tau + d} - M[\lambda]\tau - 2^t\lambda M z \right) \right) \phi(\tau, z), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $[(a \ b, c \ d), \lambda, \mu] \in \Gamma^J$ , where here and throughout the paper  $U[V] := {}^tVUV$  for matrices  $U, V$  of appropriate size. Furthermore,  $\phi$  has a Fourier series expansion of the form

$$(2.2) \quad \phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, r \in \mathbb{Z}^l \\ 4 \det(M)n - M^\#[r] \geq 0}} c(\phi; n, r) q^n \zeta^r,$$

where  $q := \exp(2\pi i \tau)$  ( $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ ),  $\zeta^r := \exp(2\pi i {}^t r z)$  ( $z \in \mathbb{C}^l$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ ), and where  $M^\#$  is the adjugate of  $M$ . If the expansion in (2.2) is only over  $n$  with

$4\det(M)n - M^\# [r] > 0$  then  $\phi$  is a Jacobi cusp form of weight  $k$  and index  $M$ . If  $\phi : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic,  $\phi$  satisfies (2.1), and  $\phi$  has a Fourier series expansion as in (2.2), but with the difference that  $n \gg -\infty$ , then  $\phi$  is a weakly-holomorphic Jacobi form of weight  $k$  and index  $M$ .

**Remark 2.1.** Consider the previously excluded case that  $M$  is semi-positive definite. Note that there exists a  $U \in \mathrm{GL}_l(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $M[U] = \begin{pmatrix} M' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $M'$  is a positive definite  $l' \times l'$  matrix with  $l' = \mathrm{rank } M$ . Writing Jacobi forms  $\phi(\tau, z)$  of index  $M$  in terms of coordinates  $(Uz)_i$ , allows one to apply standard arguments (see Theorem 1.2 in [8]) to find that  $\phi$  is constant with respect to  $(Uz)_i$  for  $l' + 1 \leq i \leq l$ . Hence the results that we prove for Jacobi forms of positive definite index carry over to Jacobi forms of semi-positive definite index.

Equation (2.1) with  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  yields the so-called theta decomposition, which implies the following isomorphism (for a nice exposition, see [30]).

$$(2.3) \quad \Theta_M : J_{k,M} \longrightarrow M_{k-\frac{l}{2}}^{(1)}(\check{\rho}_M),$$

where  $M_{k-\frac{l}{2}}^{(1)}(\check{\rho}_M)$  is the space of weight  $k - \frac{l}{2}$  vector-valued elliptic modular forms of type  $\check{\rho}_M$ , and  $\check{\rho}_M$  is the dual of the Weil representation associated to  $M$  (again, see [30] for a detailed explanation).

Throughout, the Fourier series coefficients of a modular form  $f$ , of a vector-valued modular form  $f_\rho$ , and of a Jacobi form  $\phi$  are denoted by  $c(f; n)$ ,  $c(f_\rho; n, r)$ , and  $c(\phi; n, r)$ , respectively. The theta decomposition preserves the set of Fourier series coefficients:  $\{c(\phi; n, r)\} = \{c(\Theta_M(\phi); n, r)\}$ .

## 2.2. Jacobi forms modulo $p$

Recall from the introduction that  $p \geq 5$  is a prime. If  $\phi(\tau, z) = \sum c(\phi; n, r) q^n \zeta^r$  and  $\psi(\tau, z) = \sum c(\psi; n, r) q^n \zeta^r$  are Jacobi forms with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , then  $\phi \equiv \psi \pmod{p}$  when  $c(\phi; n, r) \equiv c(\psi; n, r) \pmod{p}$  for all  $n, r$ . Set

$$J_{k,M}(\mathbb{F}_p) := \{\tilde{\phi} : \phi \in J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})\},$$

where  $\tilde{\phi}(\tau, z) = \sum \tilde{c}(\phi; n, r) q^n \zeta^r$  ( $\tilde{c}(\phi; n, r) \in \mathbb{F}_p$ ) denotes the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $\phi$ . If  $\phi \in J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ , then we denote its filtration modulo  $p$  by

$$\omega(\phi) := \inf \{k : \phi \pmod{p} \in J_{k,M}(\mathbb{F}_p)\}.$$

Note that the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra of elliptic modular forms modulo  $p$  has a natural grading with values in  $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ . We record the following direct consequence of Theorem 2 of [33]:

**Proposition 2.2 ([33]).** *Let  $(f_k)_k$  be a finite family of elliptic modular forms  $f_k \in M_k^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ . If  $\sum_k f_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$  we have*

$$\sum_{k \in a + (p-1)\mathbb{Z}} f_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proposition 2.2 allows the following slight extension of Sofer's [31] work on congruences of Jacobi forms on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $(\phi_k)_k$  be a finite family of Jacobi forms  $\phi_k \in J_{k,m}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ . If  $\sum_k \phi_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$  we have*

$$\sum_{k \in a + (p-1)\mathbb{Z}} \phi_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that the ring of weak Jacobi forms of index  $m$  is a module of rank  $2m$  over  $M_\bullet^{(1)}$  (see §8 and §9 of [8]). In particular, if  $\phi_k \in J_{k,m}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  has even weight  $k$ , then there are weak Jacobi forms  $\phi_{-2,1}$  and  $\phi_{0,1}$  of index 1 and weight  $-2$  and  $0$  respectively, such that

$$\phi_k = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} f_{k,j} \phi_{-2,1}^j \phi_{0,1}^{m-j},$$

where  $f_{k,j} \in M_{k+2j}^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ . If  $k$  is odd, then  $\phi_k$  can be expressed as the product of a weak Jacobi form  $\phi_{-1,2}$  of index 2 and weight  $-1$  and another weak Jacobi form of even weight. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_k = \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} f_{k,j} \phi_{-2,1}^j \phi_{0,1}^{m-j} + \phi_{-1,2} \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m-2} f_{k,j} \phi_{-2,1}^j \phi_{0,1}^{m-j}.$$

Exploiting the Taylor expansion with respect to  $z$  in the same way as for even weights in Lemma 2.2 of [31], we find that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{k,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

and Proposition 2.2 yields the claim. □

We need the next Lemma and Proposition to prove the extension of Proposition 2.3 to Jacobi forms on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^l$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Fix  $0 < b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and set*

$$R := \{r = {}^t(r_1, \dots, r_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l : |r_j| < b \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq l\}.$$

*Then there exists an  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^l$  such that for all  $r, r' \in R$  the following holds:*

$$({}^t sr = {}^t sr') \iff (r = r').$$

*Proof.* It is easy to check that  $s := {}^t(1, 4b, \dots, (4b)^{l-1})$  is as required.  $\square$

It will often be useful to restrict Jacobi forms as follows: If  $\phi \in J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ , and  $z' \in \mathbb{C}$ , then

$$\phi[s](\tau, z') := \phi(\tau, sz') \in J_{k,M[s]}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}).$$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $(\phi_k)_k$  be a finite family of Jacobi forms  $\phi_k \in J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ . If  $0 \leq n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  is fixed, then there exists an  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^l$  such that for all  $n \leq n_0$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ , we have*

$$(2.4) \quad c(\phi_k[s]; n, {}^t sr) = c(\phi_k; n, r).$$

*In particular, if  $\phi_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for all  $k$ , then there exists an  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^l$  such that  $\phi_k[s] \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for all  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Observe the condition  $4 \det(M)n - M^\# [r] \geq 0$  in (2.2). Let

$$b > \max \{|r_j| : r = {}^t(r_1, \dots, r_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l, 4 \det(M)n_0 - M^\# [r] \geq 0\},$$

and apply Lemma 2.4 to find an  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^l$  such that (2.4) holds. The second statement follows from the first by choosing  $n_0 > \max\{n_k\}$ , where  $(n_k, r_k)$  are Fourier indices with minimal  $n_k$  such that  $c(\phi_k; n_k, r_k) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .  $\square$

We now extend Proposition 2.3 to Jacobi forms on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^l$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $\phi \in J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  and  $\psi \in J_{k',M'}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  such that  $0 \not\equiv \phi \equiv \psi \pmod{p}$ . Then  $M = M'$  and  $k \equiv k' \pmod{(p-1)}$ .*

*Moreover, if  $M$  is fixed and  $(\phi_k)_k$  is a finite family of Jacobi forms  $\phi_k \in J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  such that  $\sum_k \phi_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$  we have*

$$\sum_{k \in a + (p-1)\mathbb{Z}} \phi_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  and  $\psi \in J_{k',M'}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  such that  $0 \not\equiv \phi \equiv \psi \pmod{p}$ . We prove the equality of indices as in the case of  $l = 1$  (see [31, Lemma 2.1]). More precisely, for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^l$  we have

$$(2.5) \quad q^{-M[\lambda]} \zeta^{-2M\lambda} \phi \equiv q^{-M'[\lambda]} \zeta^{-2M'\lambda} \psi \equiv q^{-M'[\lambda]} \zeta^{-2M'\lambda} \phi \pmod{p}.$$

We find that  $M[\lambda] = M'[\lambda]$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ , and hence  $M = M'$ . The congruence  $k \equiv k' \pmod{(p-1)}$  follows from the second part.

Let  $M$  be fixed and  $\phi_k \in J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  such that  $\sum_k \phi_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Note that if  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ , then  $\phi_k[s] \in J_{k,M[s]}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ . Consider the sum

$$\sum_k \phi_k[s] \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

and apply Proposition 2.3 to find that

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{k \in a + (p-1)\mathbb{Z}} \phi_k[s] \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

If  $0 \leq n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  is fixed, then Proposition 2.5 asserts that there exists an  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^l$  such that for all  $n \leq n_0$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^l$ , we have  $c(\phi_k[s]; n, {}^t sr) = c(\phi_k; n, r)$ . In particular, (2.6) holds also for that  $s$ . Thus, for arbitrary  $n$  and  $r$  we have

$$\sum_{k \in a + (p-1)\mathbb{Z}} c(\phi_k; n, r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{k \in a + (p-1)\mathbb{Z}} \phi_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad \square$$

**Remark 2.7.** One can repeatedly employ (2.5) to find that Proposition 2.6 can be extended as follows: If  $(\phi_{k,M})_{k,M}$  is a finite family of Jacobi forms  $\phi_{k,M} \in J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  with  $\sum_{k,M} \phi_{k,M} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then for every fixed  $M$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$\sum_{k \in a + (p-1)\mathbb{Z}} \phi_{k,M} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

A priori,  $J_{k,M}$  has a basis of Jacobi forms with Fourier series expansions over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Our final result in this section shows that there exists a basis with integral Fourier series coefficients, which is important for arithmetic applications.

**Theorem 2.8.** *There exists a basis of  $J_{k,M}$  with integral Fourier series coefficients. That is, for all  $k$  and  $M$ , we have  $J_{k,M} = J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $l = 1$ , then it is well known (see [8]) that  $J_{k,m}$  has a basis with Fourier series coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For  $l > 0$  it suffices to show that  $J_{k,M} = J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , since  $J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z})$  is torsion free. Let  $FJ_{k,M}$  be the  $\mathbb{C}$  vector space of formal Fourier series expansions

$$\sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, r \in \mathbb{Z}^l \\ 4 \det(M)n - M^\# [r] \geq 0}} c(\phi; 4 \det(M)n - M^\# [r], \bar{r}) q^n \zeta^r,$$

where  $c(\phi; D, \bar{r})$  depends on the reduction  $\bar{r} \pmod{2M}$  of  $r$ . Note that  $J_{k,M} \subset FJ_{k,M}$  by the map which sends a Jacobi form to its Fourier series expansion.

There are formal restriction maps  $(\cdot)[s]$ , that map a formal Fourier series expansion to

$$\phi[s] = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, r \in \mathbb{Z}^l \\ 4 \det(M)n - M^\# [r] \geq 0}} c(\phi; 4 \det(M)n - M^\# [r], \bar{r}) q^n \zeta'^{tsr},$$

where  $\zeta' := \exp(2\pi i z')$  with  $z' \in \mathbb{C}$ . Write  $(\cdot)[s]^{-1}$  for the preimage under restriction along  $s$ . Lemma 4.1 of [26] and Proposition 4.8 of [26] imply that there is a finite set  $\mathcal{S}$  such that

$$\bigcap_{s \in \mathcal{S}} (\cdot)[s]^{-1}(J_{k,M[s]}) = J_{k,M} \subset FJ_{k,M}.$$

The preimage  $(\cdot)[s]^{-1}$  preserves rationality of Fourier series coefficients, because  $(\cdot)[s]$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In other words, we have

$$\bigcap_{s \in \mathcal{S}} (\cdot)[s]^{-1}(J_{k,M[s]}(\mathbb{Q})) = J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Q}). \quad \square$$

### 2.3. The module $J_{\bullet,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$

In this section, we show that (for fixed  $M$ ) the  $M_\bullet^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ -module

$$J_{\bullet,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$$

is free for all  $p \geq 5$ .

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  be the set of primes  $p$  such that  $J_{\bullet, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  is a free module over  $M_{\bullet}^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ .

We first characterize the set  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  by saturation properties. Over characteristic 0, we say that a submodule  $N \subseteq N'$  is  $p$ -saturated (in  $N'$ ), if for every  $\phi \in N'$  with  $p\phi \in N$ , we have  $\phi \in N$ .

**Proposition 2.10.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(M) = & \left\{ p \text{ prime}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} : \right. \\ & \left. \sum_{\substack{k^M + k^J = k \\ k^J < k}} M_{k^M}^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) J_{k^J, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \text{ is } p\text{-saturated in } J_{k, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Write  $\mathcal{P}_{sat}$  to denote the set on the right hand side of the claim. Note that  $\mathcal{P}(M) \subset \mathcal{P}_{sat}$ .

Let  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{sat}$ . We will show that  $J_{\bullet, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  is free, i.e.,  $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ . We recursively define generators. Since  $M$  is non-degenerate, we have  $J_{0, M} = \{0\}$ . Fix  $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and suppose that there are algebraically independent (over  $M_{\bullet}^{(1)}$ ) Jacobi forms  $\phi_i \in J_{k_i, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ) with  $k_i < k$  that span  $J_{k', M}$  for all  $k' < k$ . Then the submodule

$$N_p := \bigoplus_{i=1}^d M_{k-k_i}^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \phi_i = \sum_{\substack{k^M + k^J = k \\ k^J < k}} M_{k^M}^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) J_{k^J, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \subseteq J_{k, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$$

is  $p$ -saturated, since  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{sat}$ . Hence there exists a  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -complement  $W_p$  of  $N_p$  in  $J_{k, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ . Fix a basis of  $W_p$ , say  $\phi_{d+1}, \dots, \phi_{d+d'}$ . Note that the elements  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{d+d'}$  of  $J_{\bullet, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  generate a free  $M_{\bullet}^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ -submodule of  $J_{\bullet, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ , since  $J_{\bullet, M}$  is free over  $M_{\bullet}^{(1)}$  (see [21]). We conclude that  $J_{\bullet, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  is free.  $\square$

Theorem 1.1 of Mason and Marks [21] implies that  $J_{\bullet, M}$  is a free module of rank  $\text{rk}_M := \det(2M)$  over  $M_{\bullet}^{(1)}$ . In particular, if  $\phi \in J_{k, M}$ , then Theorem 2.8 asserts that there exists  $\phi_i \in J_{k_i, M}(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $f_i \in M_{k-k_i}^{(1)}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \text{rk}_M$ ) such that  $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{rk}_M} f_i \phi_i$ . The next Proposition addresses the elliptic modular forms  $f_i$  in the case that  $J_{\bullet, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  is free.

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $\phi \in J_{k, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  with  $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{rk}_M} f_i \phi_i$ . If  $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ , then the elliptic modular forms  $f_i$  also have  $p$ -integral rational coefficients.*

Moreover, if  $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$  and  $\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{rk}_M} g_i \phi_i \in J_{k', M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  such that  $0 \not\equiv \phi \equiv \psi \pmod{p}$ , then  $f_i \equiv g_i \pmod{p}$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that if  $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{rk}_M} f_i \phi_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $f_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for all  $i$ . Note that  $\phi_i \in J_{k_i, M}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Proposition 2.10 asserts that the module  $\sum_{i=1}^{\text{rk}_M} M_{k-k_i}^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \phi_i$  is  $p$ -saturated. Hence  $\frac{1}{p} \phi = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{rk}_M} \phi_i g_i$  for some  $g_i \in M_{k-k_i}^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ . The Jacobi forms  $\phi_i$  form a basis of  $J_{\bullet, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ , and we conclude that  $\frac{1}{p} f_i = g_i \in M_{k-k_i}^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ , as desired.  $\square$

To determine which primes belong to  $\mathcal{P}(M)$ , we reconsider parts of [26] in a different spirit. The space of formal Fourier series expansions of even or odd weight and index  $M$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}(M)^{\text{even/odd}} := \left\{ \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{Z}^l \\ 4 \det(M)n - M^\# [r] \geq 0}} c(n, r) q^n \zeta^r : \begin{aligned} c(n, r) &= c(n + {}^t \lambda r + M[\lambda], r + 2M\lambda), \\ c(n, r) &= \pm c(n, -r) \end{aligned} \right\},$$

where  $c(n, r) = c(n, -r)$  in the even case and  $c(n, r) = -c(n, -r)$  in the odd case.

For a finite set  $\mathcal{S}$  of vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^l$ , define

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}(M, \mathcal{S})^{\text{even}} := \left( \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} (\cdot)[s] \right) (\mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}(M)^{\text{even}}) \subset \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}(m)^{\text{even}}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}(M, \mathcal{S})^{\text{odd}}$  is defined analogously.

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a set of representatives of  $\mathbb{Z}^l / 2M\mathbb{Z}^l$  with the following property: For every  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  and every  $r' \equiv r \pmod{M\mathbb{Z}^l}$ , we have  $M^{-1}[r] \leq M^{-1}[r']$ . In [26] it is shown that the elements in  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}(M)^{\text{even/odd}}$  are uniquely determined by their coefficients  $c(n, r)$  with  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Lemma 2.12.** *Fix a prime  $p \geq 5$ . There exists a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of integral  $l$ -vectors such that*

- (i) *The map  $(\cdot)[\mathcal{S}]$  is injective.*
- (ii) *Let  $\phi : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with Fourier series expansion in  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}(M)^{\text{even/odd}}$ . Then  $\phi = 0$  if and only if  $\phi[s] = 0$  for all  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ .*
- (iii)  *$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}(M, \mathcal{S})^{\text{even}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}(M, \mathcal{S})^{\text{odd}}$  are  $p$ -saturated.*

*Proof.* The second part is given by Corollary 4.7 of [26]. To show the first and third parts, we write

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}} := \{r' \in \mathbb{Z}^l : \exists r \in \mathcal{R} : r \equiv \pm r' \pmod{2M\mathbb{Z}^l}, M^\# [r] = M^\# [r']\}.$$

Choose  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|r_i| < b$  for all  $r = {}^t(r_1, \dots, r_l) \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ . Lemma 2.4 provides a set  $\mathcal{S}$  such that for all  $r \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$  there exists an  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  ${}^t sr = {}^t sr'$  for some  $r' \in \mathcal{R}$  implies  $r = r'$ . Thus, the first part holds.

We prove the third part only for even weights, and the case of odd weights is established in exactly the same way. Suppose that there exists a  $\phi \in \mathcal{FE}(M, \mathcal{S})^{\text{even}}$  such that  $\frac{1}{p}\phi \notin \mathcal{FE}(M, \mathcal{S})^{\text{even}}$ , but  $\frac{1}{p}\phi \in \bigoplus_s \mathcal{FM}(M[s])^{\text{even}}$ . Fix a preimage  $\psi \in \mathcal{FM}(M)^{\text{even}}$  of  $\phi$  under  $\bigoplus_s (\cdot)[s]$ . By assumption,  $\frac{1}{p}\psi \notin \mathcal{FM}(M)^{\text{even}}$ . As usual, denote the Fourier series coefficients of  $\psi$  by  $c(\psi; n, r)$ . Let  $n_0$  be minimal subject to the condition that there exists an  $r_0$  with  $c(\psi; n_0, r_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . The definitions of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{FM}(M)^{\text{even}}$  imply that  $c(\psi; n_0, r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for all  $r \notin \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ , and hence  $r_0 \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ . Moreover, there exists an  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $c(\psi[s]; n_0, {}^t sr_0) \equiv c(\psi; n_0, r_0) \pmod{p}$ , which gives the contradiction that  $\frac{1}{p}\phi \notin \bigoplus_s \mathcal{FE}(m)^{\text{even}}$ . This proves the third part for even weights.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.13.** *Consider the map*

$$(\cdot)[\mathcal{S}] = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} (\cdot)[s] : \mathcal{FE}(M)^{\text{even}} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{FE}(M[s])^{\text{even}}.$$

*Identify  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -modules of Jacobi forms with the associated modules of Fourier series expansions. For any  $\mathcal{S}$  as in Lemma 2.12, we have an  $M_\bullet^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ -isomorphism*

$$(2.8) \quad J_{2\bullet, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) = (\cdot)[\mathcal{S}]^{-1} \left( \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} J_{2\bullet, M[s]}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \right).$$

*An analogous statement holds for  $J_{2\bullet+1, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ .*

*Proof.* As before, we only consider the case of even weights. The first and second property in Lemma 2.12 can be used (see Section 4 of [26] for details) to show that

$$J_{2\bullet, M} = (\cdot)[\mathcal{S}]^{-1} \left( \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} J_{2\bullet, M[s]} \right).$$

We have to study  $p$ -integrality under  $(\cdot)[\mathcal{S}]$  in order to show the equality in (2.8). Note that restrictions of formal Fourier series expansions with  $p$ -integral coefficients have  $p$ -integral coefficients. Hence the left hand side of (2.8) is contained in the right hand side. To see the opposite inclusion, suppose there was a  $\phi \in J_{2\bullet, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  whose restriction  $\phi[\mathcal{S}]$  is divisible by  $p$ . Then  $\phi$  itself is divisible by  $p$ , because  $\mathcal{FE}(M, \mathcal{S})^{\text{even}}$  is  $p$ -saturated by the third property in Lemma 2.12. This proves the equality.

The following equation shows that it gives an isomorphism of  $M_\bullet^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ -modules. Given formal Fourier series expansions  $\sum_{n,r} c(\phi; n, r) q^n \zeta^r$  and  $\sum_n c(f; n) q^n$ , we have

$$(f\phi)[s] = \sum_{n',n,r} c(f; n') c(\phi; n, r) q^{n+n'} \zeta^{s_r} = f \phi[s].$$

□

**Theorem 2.14.** *For every  $M$ , the set  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  contains all primes  $p \geq 5$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $p \geq 5$ . The case  $l = 1$  is classical (see [8] and [31]). Assume that  $l > 1$ . Choose  $\mathcal{S}$  as in Lemma 2.12. We apply the isomorphism given in Corollary 2.13. We have to prove that

$$\sum_{\substack{k^M + k^J = k \\ k^J < k}} M_{k^M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) J_{k^J, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \subseteq J_{k, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$$

is a  $p$ -saturated submodule for every  $k$ . Using the above isomorphism, this amounts to showing that

$$(\mathcal{FE}(M, \mathcal{S})^{\text{even}} \cup \mathcal{FE}(M, \mathcal{S})^{\text{odd}}) \cap \left( \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{\substack{k^M + k^J = k \\ k^J < k}} M_{k^M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) J_{k^J, M[s]}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \right)$$

is  $p$ -saturated. Lemma 2.12 implies that the first module in the intersection is  $p$ -saturated. Moreover, each term in the direct sum of the second module is  $p$ -saturated due to the case  $l = 1$  of this theorem. The intersection of two  $p$ -saturated modules is  $p$ -saturated, and the claim follows for all  $l$ . □

## 2.4. Heat cycles and $U^J(p)$ congruences

In this section, we investigate heat cycles of Jacobi forms, and we determine conditions for  $U^J(p)$  congruences of Jacobi forms. Consider the heat operator

$$\mathbb{L} := \mathbb{L}_M := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \left( 8\pi i \det(M) \partial_\tau - M^\# [\partial_z] \right).$$

Note that if  $l = 1$  and  $M = m$ , then  $\mathbb{L}_M = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \left( 8\pi i m \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right)$  is the usual heat operator. If  $\phi \in J_{k,M}$ , then a direct computation (see also Lemma 3.3 of [6]) shows that

$$(2.9) \quad \mathbb{L}_M(\phi) = \frac{(2k-l) \det(M)}{6} \phi E_2 + \hat{\phi},$$

where  $E_2$  is the quasimodular Eisenstein series of weight 2 and where  $\hat{\phi} \in J_{k+2,M}$ .

Tate's theory of theta cycles (see §7 of [15]) relies on Lemma 5 of [33], which gives the filtration of the theta operator applied to a modular form. Proposition 2 of [28] extends Lemma 5 of [33] to Jacobi forms on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ , and our next proposition extends this further to Jacobi forms on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^l$ .

**Proposition 2.15.** *If  $\phi \in J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ , then  $\mathbb{L}(\phi) \pmod{p}$  is the reduction of a Jacobi form modulo  $p$ . Moreover, we have*

$$(2.10) \quad \omega(\mathbb{L}(\phi)) \leq \omega(\phi) + p + 1,$$

with equality if and only if  $p \nmid (2\omega(\phi) - l) \det(2M)$ .

*Proof.* We proceed exactly as in [28], and we assume that  $\omega(\phi) = k$ . Let

$$E_k(\tau) := 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{0 < d \mid n} d^{k-1} \right) q^n$$

denote the usual Eisenstein series. Recall that  $E_{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $E_2 \equiv E_{p+1} \pmod{p}$ . Equation (2.9) shows that  $\mathbb{L}(\phi) \in J_{k+p+1,M}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , i.e.,  $\omega(\mathbb{L}(\phi)) \leq k + p + 1$ .

If  $p$  divides  $(2k-l) \det(2M)$ , then  $\omega(\mathbb{L}(\phi)) \leq k + 2 < k + p + 1$  by (2.9). On the other hand, if  $\omega(\mathbb{L}(\phi)) < k + p + 1$ , then  $\omega\left(\frac{(2k-l) \det(M)}{6} \phi E_2\right) < k + p + 1$  by (2.9). It remains to show that  $\omega(\phi E_2) = k + p + 1$ , which then implies that  $p$  divides  $(2k-l) \det(2M)$ . Proposition 2.11 asserts that  $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{rk}_M} f_i \phi_i$ , where the elliptic modular forms  $f_i$  have  $p$ -integral rational coefficients. There exists an  $f_j$  such that  $\omega(f_j \phi_i) = k$ , since otherwise  $\omega(\phi) < k$ . Theorem 2 and Lemma 5 of [33] guarantee that  $f_i E_2$  has maximal filtration, and we find that  $\omega(\phi E_2) = k + p + 1$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

Let us introduce an analog of Atkin's  $U$ -operator for Jacobi forms of higher degree:

**Definition 2.16.** For

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, r \in \mathbb{Z}^l \\ 4 \det(M) n - M^\# [r] \geq 0}} c(n, r) q^n \zeta^r \in J_{k, M},$$

we define

$$(2.11) \quad \phi(\tau, z) \Big| U^J(p) := \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, r \in \mathbb{Z}^l \\ 4 \det(M) n - M^\# [r] \geq 0 \\ p \mid (4 \det(M) n - M^\# [r])}} c(n, r) q^n \zeta^r.$$

If  $l = 1$ , then this is precisely the  $U_p$  operator of [27, 28]. The following Theorem on  $U^J(p)$  congruences is the main result in this Section. Note that the results for  $l$  even and  $l$  odd are quite different.

**Theorem 2.17.** Assume that  $p \geq k$  such that  $p \nmid \det(2M)$ , and let  $\phi \in J_{k, M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  such that  $\phi \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

a) Let  $l$  be even. If  $p > \frac{l}{2} + 1$ , then

$$\omega\left(\mathbb{L}^{p+1-k+\frac{l}{2}}(\phi)\right) = \begin{cases} 2p + 2 + l - k, & \text{if } \phi \Big| U^J(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}; \\ p + 3 + l - k, & \text{if } \phi \Big| U^J(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

b) Let  $l$  be odd, and  $k > \frac{l+5}{2}$ .

- i) If  $p > 2k - l - 4$ , then  $\phi \Big| U^J(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .
- ii) If  $p < 2k - l - 4$ , then

$$\omega\left(\mathbb{L}^{\frac{3p+l}{2}+1-k}(\phi)\right) = \begin{cases} 3p + 2 + l - k, & \text{if } \phi \Big| U^J(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}; \\ 2p + 3 + l - k, & \text{if } \phi \Big| U^J(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We follow the proof of Proposition 3 in [27], and our key ingredients are Propositions 2.6 and 2.15.

Suppose that  $\phi \Big| U^J(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , in which case  $\mathbb{L}^{p-1}(\phi) \equiv \phi \pmod{p}$ , i.e.,  $\phi$  is in its own heat cycle. We say that  $\phi_1$  a *low point* of its heat cycle if  $\phi_1 = \mathbb{L}^A(\phi)$  and  $2\omega(\mathbb{L}^{A-1}\phi) \equiv l \pmod{p}$ . Let  $\phi_1$  be a low point of its heat cycle and let  $c_j \in \mathbb{N}$  be minimal such that

$$2\omega(\mathbb{L}^{c_j-1}(\phi_1)) = 2(\omega(\phi_1) + (c_j - 1)(p + 1)) \equiv l \pmod{p},$$

and let  $b_j \in \mathbb{N}$  be defined by

$$\omega(\mathbb{L}^{c_j}(\phi_1)) = \omega(\phi_1) + c_j(p+1) - b_j(p-1).$$

Exactly as in §7 of [15] (see also §3 of [27]), one finds that there is either one fall with  $c_1 = p-1$  and  $b_1 = p+1$ , or there are two falls with  $b_1 = p-c_2$  and  $b_2 = p-c_1$ . One fall occurs if and only if  $2\omega(\phi_1) \equiv l+4 \pmod{p}$ . In the following, we assume that there are two falls, and we write  $\omega(\phi_1) = ap+B$  with  $1 \leq B \leq p$  and  $p \neq 2B-4-l$ . Especially, if  $\phi_1 = \phi$ , then  $a=0$  and  $B=k$ . We find that

$$2c_1 + 2B - 2 - l \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

If  $l$  is even, then necessarily  $c_1 = 1-B+\frac{l}{2}$  or  $c_1 = p+1-B+\frac{l}{2}$ . Note that  $c_1 \geq 1$ , and hence the case  $c_1 = 1-B+\frac{l}{2}$  is only possible if  $B \leq \frac{l}{2}$ . In particular, if  $\phi_1 = \phi$ , then  $k=B=\frac{l}{2}$ , since  $J_{k,M} = \{0\}$  if  $k < \frac{l}{2}$ . However, if  $k = \frac{l}{2}$ , then

$$\omega(\mathbb{L}^1(\phi)) = \frac{l}{2} + (p+1) - 2(p-1) = 3 + \frac{l}{2} - p \underset{p > \frac{l}{2} + 1}{<} 2,$$

which is impossible, since  $J_{1,M} = \{0\}$ . For the case  $c_1 = p+1-B+\frac{l}{2}$  we obtain

$$\omega(\mathbb{L}^{c_1}(\phi_1)) = (a+1)p + 3 + l - B,$$

which gives the desired formula if  $\phi_1 = \phi$ .

If  $l$  is odd, then necessarily  $c_1 = \frac{p+l}{2} + 1 - B$  or  $c_1 = \frac{3p+l}{2} + 1 - B$ . If  $c_1 = \frac{p+l}{2} + 1 - B$ , then  $b_1 = \frac{3p+l}{2} + 2 - B$ , and if, in addition,  $\phi_1 = \phi$ , then

$$\omega(\mathbb{L}^{c_1}(\phi)) = k + c_1(p+1) - b_1(p-1) = 3 + l - k \underset{k > \frac{l+5}{2}}{\leq} \frac{l-1}{2},$$

which is impossible, since  $J_{k,M} = \{0\}$  if  $k < \frac{l}{2}$ . For the case  $c_1 = \frac{3p+l}{2} + 1 - B$  we calculate

$$\omega(\mathbb{L}^{c_1}(\phi_1)) = (a+2)p + 3 + l - B,$$

which is as required if  $\phi_1 = \phi$ . Note also that in this case  $c_1 \geq 1$  implies that  $p < 2B - l - 4$ . Hence if  $p > 2k - l - 4$ , then  $\phi | U^J(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

On the other hand, if  $\phi | U^J(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then (under the assumption that  $k \leq p$ ) it is easy to see that  $\mathbb{L}(\phi)$  is a low point of its heat cycle (see

also [27]) with  $\omega(\mathbb{L}(\phi)) = p + k + 1$ . Thus, we can apply our previous findings with  $a = 1$  and  $B = k + 1$ : If  $l$  is even, then the case  $c_1 = 1 - B + \frac{l}{2}$  is impossible, since  $c_1 \geq 1$  would imply  $k < \frac{l}{2}$ . Hence  $c_1 = p + 1 - B + \frac{l}{2}$ , and  $\mathbb{L}^{p+1-k+\frac{l}{2}}(\phi) = 2p + 2 + l - k$ . If  $l$  is odd, then necessarily  $p < 2B - l - 4$ , and the case  $c_1 = \frac{p+l}{2} + 1 - B$  is impossible (since again  $c_1 \geq 1$ ). Consequently,  $c_1 = \frac{3p+l}{2} + 1 - B$  and we obtain  $\mathbb{L}^{\frac{3p+l}{2}+1-k}(\phi) = 3p + 2 + l - k$ .

□

**Remark 2.18.** If  $l$  is odd, then Theorem 2.17 generalizes Proposition 3 of [27] and Theorem 2 of [5]. If  $l$  is even, then the result is weaker, since one cannot exclude  $U^J(p)$  congruences modulo  $p$  for almost all primes  $p$ . This is related to the fact that  $M_k^{(1)} \cong J_{k+\frac{l}{2}, M}$  for unimodular  $M$  (in which case  $8 \mid l$ ). Indeed, for even  $l$  the situation is somewhat analogous to the case of elliptic modular forms (see §7 of [15]), where it is also impossible to exclude  $U^J(p)$  congruences modulo  $p$  for almost all primes  $p$ .

## 2.5. Examples

We discuss a few examples to illustrate Theorem 2.17 in the case that  $l = 3$  and

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we have performed necessary calculations with the help of Sage [32]. Note that [26] provides tools to compute spaces of Jacobi forms: The dimension formulas of  $J_{k,M}$  are implemented in the computer code accompanying [26]. Moreover, Lemma 4.1 of [26] shows that any Jacobi form in  $J_{k,M}$  is uniquely determined by its restrictions along vectors in a finite set  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{Z}^l$ . For  $l = 3$  and  $M$  as above, one finds using Sage that

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1)\}$$

is a possible choice. The index of a restriction along  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  equals  $M[s]$ . In our case,  $M[s] = 3$  is the maximal index that can occur for  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ . Note that Jacobi forms of index  $m$  are determined by corrected Taylor coefficients (see Section 3 of [8]) in  $M_{k+2j}^{(1)}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . Hence we can deduce a Sturm bound for  $J_{k,M}$  from the Sturm bound of  $M_{k+2j}$  with  $0 \leq j \leq 3$ , which allows us to determine Jacobi forms from their initial Fourier series expansions. The methods in [26] show how to find an explicit basis with integral Fourier series coefficients. In Table 1, we give the initial Fourier series coefficients of such

	$J_{4,M}$ $\phi_{4,0}$	$J_{6,M}$ $\phi_{6,0}$	$J_{6,M}$ $\phi_{6,1}$	$J_{8,M}$ $\phi_{8,0}$	$\phi_{8,1}$	$\phi_{8,2}$	$\phi_{8,3}$	$J_{10,M}$ $\phi_{10,0}$	$\phi_{10,1}$	$\phi_{10,2}$	$\phi_{10,3}$	$\phi_{10,4}$
(0, $r_0$ )	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
(1, $r_0$ )	26	2	8	26	40	0	0	2	8	0	0	0
(1, $r_1$ )	16	-104	-4	0	0	2	0	6	6	10	0	0
(1, $r_2$ )	16	-104	-4	0	0	0	2	6	6	0	10	0
(1, $r_3$ )	8	20	2	84	-10	-1	-1	0	1	1	1	3
(1, $r_4$ )	16	-104	-4	264	-36	-2	-2	-104	-14	-10	-10	-10
(1, $r_5$ )	8	20	2	-48	8	0	1	-11	-1	0	-1	2
(1, $r_6$ )	8	20	2	-48	8	1	0	-11	-1	-1	0	2
(1, $r_7$ )	2	-16	-1	-4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1
(2, $r_0$ )	72	-2064	-48	8760	-48	0	0	-28704	-48	0	0	1920
(2, $r_1$ )	64	-608	16	5632	-256	-24	0	-10616	-56	-72	0	-960
(2, $r_2$ )	64	-608	16	5632	-256	0	-24	-10616	-56	0	-72	-960
(2, $r_3$ )	48	-552	-4	440	228	10	10	-3904	-114	-82	-82	426
(2, $r_4$ )	64	-608	16	2464	176	24	24	-9824	88	72	72	-888
(2, $r_5$ )	48	-552	-4	1760	48	0	-10	-3002	50	0	82	508
(2, $r_6$ )	48	-552	-4	1760	48	-10	0	-3002	50	82	0	508
(2, $r_7$ )	48	-288	0	960	-72	0	0	-512	16	0	0	-256
(3, $r_0$ )	144	-4128	96	78576	288	0	0	-581696	-32	0	0	5888
(3, $r_1$ )	112	-3992	4	43008	1024	110	0	-298982	282	214	0	-4864
(3, $r_2$ )	112	-3992	4	43008	1024	0	110	-298982	282	0	214	-4864
(3, $r_3$ )	112	-3272	-20	32728	-556	-30	-30	-141184	1094	774	774	3794
(3, $r_4$ )	112	-3992	4	57528	-956	-110	-110	-301336	-146	-214	-214	-5078
(3, $r_5$ )	112	-3272	-20	28768	-16	0	30	-149698	-454	0	-774	3020
(3, $r_6$ )	112	-3272	-20	28768	-16	30	0	-149698	-454	-774	0	3020
(3, $r_7$ )	50	-1552	15	15836	9	0	0	-69120	-128	0	0	-2017
(4, $r_0$ )	218	-16270	-64	400586	-3008	0	0	-5013022	704	0	0	-27648
(4, $r_1$ )	192	-13344	-80	279040	-768	-200	0	-3114792	-1384	-1048	0	8896
(4, $r_2$ )	192	-13344	-80	279040	-768	0	-200	-3114792	-1384	0	-1048	8896
(4, $r_3$ )	160	-8816	40	190608	-968	-20	-20	-1869440	-3756	-2668	-2668	-1540
(4, $r_4$ )	192	-13344	-80	252640	2832	200	200	-3103264	712	1048	1048	9944
(4, $r_5$ )	160	-8816	40	187968	-608	0	20	-1840092	1580	0	2668	1128
(4, $r_6$ )	160	-8816	40	187968	-608	20	0	-1840092	1580	2668	0	1128
(4, $r_7$ )	240	-8352	0	124608	792	0	0	-1063424	208	0	0	-3328

Table 1: Initial Fourier series expansions of Jacobi forms of weights 4, 6, 8, and 10 and index  $M$ .

a basis  $\phi_{k,i}$  ( $0 \leq i < \dim J_{k,M}$ ) of  $J_{k,M}$  for  $k \in \{4, 6, 8, 10\}$ . Representatives of  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^l$  modulo  $2M\mathbb{Z}^l$  and  $\{\pm 1\}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= (0, 0, 0), & r_1 &= (0, 0, 1), & r_2 &= (0, 1, 0), & r_3 &= (0, 1, 1), \\ r_4 &= (1, 0, 0), & r_5 &= (1, 0, 1), & r_6 &= (1, 1, 0), & r_7 &= (1, 1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $p \geq 5$  throughout the paper. We have  $J_{2,M} = \{0\}$ , and  $\dim J_{4,M} = 1$ , but no nonzero element in  $J_{4,M}$  has a  $U^J(p)$  congruence.

The space  $J_{6,M}$  has dimension 2, and Theorem 2.17 asserts that there are no  $U^J(p)$  congruences for  $p \geq 7$ . If  $p = 5$ , then we find that Jacobi forms

that have  $U^J(5)$  congruences form a 1-dimensional space which is spanned by the unique cusp form  $\phi_{6,1}$ .

Theorem 2.17 shows that forms in  $J_{8,M}$ , which has dimension 4, can have  $U^J(p)$  congruences only for  $p \in \{5, 7\}$ . We find that the space of Jacobi forms exhibiting  $U^J(p)$  congruences has dimension 2 if  $p = 5$  and dimension 1 if  $p = 7$ . More specifically, forms with  $U^J(5)$  congruences are linear combinations of  $\phi_{8,2}$  and  $\phi_{8,3}$ , and the space of Jacobi forms with  $U^J(7)$  congruences is spanned by  $\phi_{8,1} + \phi_{8,2} + \phi_{8,3}$ .

Finally, we consider the 5-dimensional space  $J_{10,M}$ . Theorem 2.17 asserts that there are no  $U^J(p)$  congruences for  $p > 13$ . If  $p \in \{5, 7, 11, 13\}$ , then the corresponding spaces of Jacobi forms with  $U^J(p)$  congruences have dimensions 1, 1, 2, and 4, respectively. They equal the span of

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{10,1} + 4\phi_{10,2} + 4\phi_{10,3} + \phi_{10,4} &\quad \text{for } p = 5; \\ \phi_{10,1} + 5\phi_{10,2} + 5\phi_{10,3} + 4\phi_{10,4} &\quad \text{for } p = 7; \\ \phi_{10,1} + 9\phi_{10,4} \text{ and } \phi_{10,2} + \phi_{10,3} + 8\phi_{10,4} &\quad \text{for } p = 11; \\ \phi_{10,1}, \phi_{10,2}, \phi_{10,3}, \text{ and } \phi_{10,4} &\quad \text{for } p = 13. \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $k = 10$  and  $p = 11$ , we apply Theorem 2.17 to verify that  $\mathbb{L}^{\frac{3p+l}{2}+1-k}(\phi)$  is congruent to a form of weight  $3p + 2 + l - k$ . In the cases that  $\phi \in J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  with  $k = 6, 8, 10$  and  $p = 5$ ,  $k = 8, 10$  and  $p = 7$ , and  $k = 10$  and  $p = 13$ , we establish the above congruences by checking that  $\mathbb{L}^{p-1}\phi \equiv \phi \pmod{p}$ . These later computations are more expensive, since the filtration of  $\mathbb{L}^{p-1}\phi$  could be as large as  $k + (p + 1)(p - 1)$ . For example, in the case  $k = 10$ ,  $p = 13$  the Sturm bound shows that it suffices to check Fourier series coefficients for all  $n < 17$ . In comparison, if our Theorem 2.17 had been applicable, Fourier series coefficients up to  $n < 5$  would have sufficed.

### 3. Siegel modular forms

#### 3.1. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{H}_g$  be the Siegel upper half space of degree  $g$ ,  $Z \in \mathbb{H}_g$  be a typical variable, and  $\mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$  be the symplectic group of degree  $g$  over the integers. For a ring  $R \subset \mathbb{C}$ , let  $M_k^{(g)}(R)$  be the vector space of Siegel modular forms of degree  $g$ , weight  $k$ , and with coefficients in  $R$  (for details on Siegel modular forms, see for example Freitag [10] or Klingen [18]). We suppress  $R$  if  $R = \mathbb{C}$ .

Recall that  $\Phi \in M_k^{(g)}$  satisfies the transformation law

$$\Phi((AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}) = \det(CZ + D)^k \Phi(Z)$$

for all  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z})$ . Furthermore,  $\Phi$  has a Fourier series expansion of the form

$$\sum_{T={}^t T \geq 0} c(\Phi; T) e^{2\pi i \mathrm{tr}(TZ)},$$

where the sum is over all symmetric, semi-positive definite, and half-integral  $g \times g$  matrices with integral diagonal entries. We always denote the Fourier series coefficients of a Siegel modular form  $\Phi$  by  $c(\Phi; T)$ . Write  $Z = (\begin{smallmatrix} \tau & z \\ {}^t z & W \end{smallmatrix}) \in \mathbb{H}_g$ , where  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{g-1}$ , and  $W \in \mathbb{H}_{g-1}$  to find the Fourier-Jacobi expansion:

$$\Phi(Z) = \Phi(\tau, z, W) = \sum_{M={}^t M \geq 0} \Phi_M(\tau, z) e^{2\pi i \mathrm{tr}(MW)},$$

where the sum is over all symmetric, semi-positive definite, and half-integral  $g-1 \times g-1$  matrices with integral diagonal entries, and where  $\Phi_M \in J_{k,M}$  are Jacobi forms on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^{g-1}$ .

### 3.2. Siegel modular forms modulo $p$

Recall that  $p \geq 5$  is a prime. Note that Böcherer and Nagaoka [3] require that  $p \geq g+3$ , and whenever we apply their results we also assume that  $p \geq g+3$ . For Siegel modular forms  $\Phi(Z) = \sum c(\Phi; T) e^{2\pi i \mathrm{tr}(TZ)}$  and  $\Psi(Z) = \sum c(\Psi; T) e^{2\pi i \mathrm{tr}(TZ)}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , we write  $\Phi \equiv \Psi \pmod{p}$  when  $c(\Phi; T) \equiv c(\Psi; T) \pmod{p}$  for all  $T$ . Set

$$(3.1) \quad M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{F}_p) := \{\tilde{\Phi} : \Phi \in M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})\},$$

where  $\tilde{\Phi}(Z) = \sum \tilde{c}(\Phi; T) e^{2\pi i \mathrm{tr}(TZ)}$  (with  $\tilde{c}(\Phi; T) \in \mathbb{F}_p$ ) denotes the reduction modulo  $p$  of  $\Phi$ .

We now introduce the weight filtration for Siegel modular forms modulo  $p$ . If  $\Phi \in M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  or  $\Phi \in M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , then

$$\omega(\Phi) := \inf \{k' \in \mathbb{Z} : \exists_{\Psi \in M_{k'}^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})} \Psi \equiv \Phi \pmod{p}\}.$$

We extend Proposition 2.6 to Siegel modular forms.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $(\Phi_k)_k$  be a finite family of Siegel modular forms  $\Phi_k \in M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ . If  $\sum_k \Phi_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$  we have*

$$\sum_{k \in a + (p-1)\mathbb{Z}} \Phi_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the Fourier-Jacobi coefficients  $(\Phi_k)_M$  of  $\Phi_k$  to find that the desired congruence holds if and only if

$$\sum_{k \in a + (p-1)\mathbb{Z}} (\Phi_k)_M \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

holds for all  $M$ . Thus, Proposition 2.6 in combination with Remark 2.1 yields the claim.  $\square$

Proposition 3.1 includes the following special case, which is due to Ichikawa [13] (see also Böcherer-Nagaoka [4]) if  $p \geq g+3$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *Suppose that  $0 \not\equiv \Phi \equiv \Psi \pmod{p}$  for  $\Phi \in M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  and  $\Psi \in M_{k'}^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ . Then  $k \equiv k' \pmod{(p-1)}$ . In particular,  $\omega(\Phi)$  is congruent modulo  $p-1$  to the weight of  $\Phi$ .*

Böcherer and Nagaoka [3] establish the existence of Siegel modular forms which are congruent to 1 modulo  $p$ , which is an important ingredient in determining the structure of  $M_\bullet^{(g)}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

**Theorem 3.3 ([3]).** *Let  $p \geq g+3$ . There exists a  $\Psi_{p-1} \in M_{p-1}^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\Psi_{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .*

### 3.3. Structure of $M_\bullet^{(g)}(\mathbb{F}_p)$

In this section, we study the implications of Proposition 3.1 for the graded rings of Siegel modular forms.

**Proposition 3.4.** *For all primes  $p$ , the ideal  $C$  in (1.1) is saturated with respect to  $(p)$ . That is, if  $P \in C$  and  $p \mid P$ , then also  $p^{-1}P \in C$ . In particular, if  $P \in C$  is homogeneous and if  $\Phi_i$  are generators of  $M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  such that  $P(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial whose coefficients are divisible by  $p$  and which satisfies  $P(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n) = 0$ . Then  $(p^{-1}P)(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n) = p^{-1}(P(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n)) = 0$ , which gives the claim.  $\square$

We now prove Theorem 1.1, which determines the ring structure of  $M_{\bullet}^{(g)}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  such that  $P(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . We need to show that  $P$  is divisible by  $1 - B$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

By Proposition 3.1, we may assume that there exists an  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $P = \sum_{k \equiv a \pmod{p-1}} P_k$  for homogeneous components  $P_k$  of degree  $k$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be minimal subject to the condition  $P_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Replacing  $P$  by  $Q := P - (1 - B)P_k$  yields a polynomial whose homogeneous components  $Q_{k'}$  vanish (modulo  $p$ ) for  $k' < k + p - 1$ . By iterating this process, we may assume that  $P \equiv P_k \pmod{p}$  for some  $k$ . Then  $P(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n) \equiv P_k(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for this  $k$ , and Proposition 3.4 guarantees that  $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv P_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* Let  $\Phi' \in M_{k'}^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  with  $k' < k$  and such that  $\Phi \equiv \Phi' \pmod{p}$ , and suppose that  $\Phi'$  corresponds to the polynomial  $A'$  under (1.1). We employ Theorem 1.1 to find that

$$(3.2) \quad (1 - B)P \equiv (A - A') \pmod{p}$$

for some (not necessarily homogeneous)  $P \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Write  $P = \sum P_l$  for polynomials  $P_l$  which are homogeneous of degree  $l$  with respect to the weighted grading of  $\mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Comparing the left and right hand side of (3.2) shows that  $B P_{k-(p-1)} \equiv A \pmod{p}$ . Hence  $B$  divides  $A$ . On the other hand, if  $B$  divides  $A$ , then  $\Phi = \Psi_{p-1}\Phi'$  for some  $\Phi' \in M_{k'}^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  and  $\omega(\Phi) < k$ .  $\square$

We end this section with two Lemmas that are needed in the proof of Proposition 3.7.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $p \geq g + 3$ . For every sufficiently large  $k$  there exists a  $\Phi \in M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  such that  $P_{\Phi}$  and  $B$  are coprime in  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / C$ , where  $P_{\Phi}$  is the polynomial corresponding to  $\Phi$  under the isomorphism in (1.1).*

*Proof.* Theorem 2 of [33] treats the case  $g = 1$ . Assume that  $g > 1$ . It suffices to show the statement in  $R_{\bullet} := (\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / C) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ . There are finitely many irreducible divisors  $D_i \in R_{\bullet}$  of  $B \pmod{p}$ . Write  $k_i$  for their degrees, and  $H(k)$  for the Hilbert polynomial of  $R_{\bullet}$ , whose degree equals  $\frac{g(g+1)}{2}$ , which is greater than 1. The set of elements in  $R_k$  which are divisible by  $D_i$  equals  $D_i R_{k-k_i}$ . Hence the set of elements in  $R_k$  which are not coprime to  $B$  is contained in the union of hyperplanes  $D_i R_{k-k_i}$ . Thus, we are reduced to

showing that  $p^{H(k)} - \sum_i p^{H(k-k_i)} > 0$  for large enough  $k$ . This is clear, since the degree of  $H(k)$  is greater than 1, and therefore  $H(k) - H(k - k_i) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $p$  be any prime. Let  $\Phi, \Psi \in M_{\bullet}^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  such that  $\Phi, \Psi \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Then  $\Phi\Psi \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . In particular,  $M_{\bullet}^{(g)}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is an integral domain.*

*Proof.* Consider the order  $T = (T_{ij}) < T' = (T'_{ij})$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exists_{1 \leq i \leq g} : T_{ii} < T'_{ii} \wedge (\forall_{1 \leq j < i} T_{jj} = T'_{jj})) \vee \left( (\forall_{1 \leq i \leq g} T_{ii} = T'_{ii}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \wedge (\exists_{1 \leq i, j \leq g} : T_{ij} < T'_{ij} \wedge \forall_{\substack{1 \leq i' < i \\ 1 \leq j' \leq g}} T_{i'j'} = T'_{i'j'} \wedge \forall_{1 \leq j' < j} T_{ij'} = T'_{ij'}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Choose minimal indices  $T_{\Phi}$  and  $T_{\Psi}$  with respect to the order subject to the condition that  $c(\Phi; T_{\Phi}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $c(\Psi; T_{\Psi}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , respectively. If  $T_{\Phi} + T_{\Psi} = T_1 + T_2$ , then either  $T_{\Phi} = T_1$  and  $T_{\Psi} = T_2$ , or one of the following holds:

$$T_1 < T_{\Phi} \quad \text{or} \quad T_1 < T_{\Psi} \quad \text{or} \quad T_2 < T_{\Phi} \quad \text{or} \quad T_2 < T_{\Psi}.$$

We find that

$$c(\Phi\Psi; T_{\Phi} + T_{\Psi}) \equiv c(\Phi; T_{\Phi})c(\Psi; T_{\Psi}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

which gives the claim.  $\square$

### 3.4. Theta cycles and $U^{(g)}(p)$ congruences

In this section, we explore theta cycles of Siegel modular forms, and we prove Theorem 1.4. From the introduction, recall the generalized theta operator

$$\mathbb{D} := (2\pi i)^{-g} \det \partial_Z.$$

Böcherer and Nagaoka [3] prove that if  $\Phi \in M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ , then

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbb{D}(\Phi) \in M_{k+p+1}^{(g)}(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

Our following result on the filtration of  $\mathbb{D}(\Phi)$  generalizes a classical result on elliptic modular forms (see [29, 33]) and also Proposition 4 of [5] to the case of Siegel modular forms of degree  $g > 2$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $p \geq g + 3$ . Let  $\Phi \in M_k^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  with  $2k \geq g$  and suppose that there exists a Fourier-Jacobi coefficient  $\Phi_M$  of  $\Phi$  such that we have  $p \nmid \det(2M)$  and  $\omega(\Phi_M) = \omega(\Phi)$ . Then*

$$\omega(\mathbb{D}(\Phi)) \leq \omega(\Phi) + p + 1,$$

with equality if and only if  $p \nmid (2\omega(\Phi) - g + 1)$ .

*Proof.* We proceed exactly as in [5], and we assume that  $\omega(\Phi) = k$ . Consider the Fourier-Jacobi expansion

$$\Phi(Z) = \Phi(\tau, z, W) = \sum_{M={}^t M \geq 0} \Phi_M(\tau, z) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(MW)},$$

where  $\Phi_M \in J_{k,M}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ . Then

$$\mathbb{D}(\Phi) = \sum_{M={}^t M \geq 0} \mathbb{L}_M(\Phi_M(\tau, z)) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(MW)}.$$

Let  $\Phi_M$  such that  $p \nmid \det(2M)$  and  $\omega(\Phi_M) = \omega(\Phi)$ . If  $p \nmid (2k - g + 1)$ , then Proposition 2.15 implies that  $\omega(\mathbb{L}_M(\Phi_M)) = k + p + 1$ . Moreover, for each  $M$  we have

$$\omega(\mathbb{L}_M(\Phi_M)) \leq \omega(\mathbb{D}(\Phi)) \stackrel{(3.3)}{\leq} k + p + 1$$

and hence  $\omega(\mathbb{D}(\Phi)) = k + p + 1$ .

Now assume that  $p \mid (2k - g + 1)$ . Lemma 3.5 shows that there exists an  $\Upsilon \in M_{k'}^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  with  $2k' \geq g$  such that  $\omega(\Upsilon) = k'$ ,  $p \nmid \frac{(2k')!}{(2k'-g)!}$ , and  $P_\Upsilon$  is relatively prime to  $B$ , where  $P_\Upsilon$  corresponds to  $\Upsilon$  under the isomorphism in Theorem 1.1.

Eholzer and Ibukiyama [7] establish a Rankin-Cohen bracket of Siegel modular forms of degree  $g$ . We employ a slight extension of a special case of [7], which is due to Böcherer and Nagaoka [3]. Let us introduce necessary notation: For  $0 \leq \alpha \leq g$  let  $P_\alpha(R, R')$  be the polynomial defined by the equation

$$\det(R + \lambda R') = \sum_{\alpha=0}^g P_\alpha(R, R') \lambda^\alpha,$$

where the variables  $R$  and  $R'$  are symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices. Set

$$\mathcal{Q}_{k,k'}^{(g)}(R, R') := \sum_{\alpha=0}^g (-1)^\alpha \alpha! (g-\alpha)! \binom{2k'-\alpha}{g-\alpha} \binom{2k-g+\alpha}{\alpha} P_\alpha(R, R')$$

and

$$\tilde{D}_{k,k'}^{(g)} := (2\pi i)^{-g} \mathcal{Q}_{k,k'}^{(g)}(\partial_{Z_1}, \partial_{Z_2}).$$

Corollary 2 of [3] asserts that

$$\{\Phi, \Upsilon\}(Z) := \tilde{D}_{k,k'}^{(g)}(\Phi(Z_1), \Upsilon(Z_2))|_{Z_1=Z_2=Z} \in M_{k+k'+2}^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}),$$

and a direct computation (observing that  $p \mid (2k - g + 1)$ ) shows that

$$\{\Phi, \Upsilon\} \equiv \frac{(2k')!}{(2k'-g)!} \mathbb{D}(\Phi)\Upsilon \pmod{p}.$$

If  $\omega(\mathbb{D}(\Phi)) = k + p + 1$ , then there exists a  $\Xi \in M_{k+p+1}^{(g)}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$  such that  $\omega(\Xi) = k + p + 1$  and  $\mathbb{D}(\Phi) \equiv \Xi \pmod{p}$ . Let  $P_\Xi$  be the polynomial corresponding to  $\Xi$  under the isomorphism in Theorem 1.1. Note that  $B$  does not divide  $P_\Xi P_\Upsilon$ , since  $B$  does not divide  $P_\Xi$  and  $B$  is relatively prime to  $P_\Upsilon$ . Lemma 3.6 shows that  $\Xi\Upsilon \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and Corollary 1.2 implies that  $\omega(\Xi\Upsilon) = k' + k + p + 1$ . We obtain the contradiction

$$k' + k + 2 \geq \omega(\{\Phi, \Upsilon\}) = \omega(\mathbb{D}(\Phi)\Upsilon) = \omega(\Xi\Upsilon) = k' + k + p + 1.$$

Hence  $\omega(\mathbb{D}(\Phi)) < k + p + 1$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* We apply Corollary 3.2 and Proposition 3.7 to study generalized theta cycles. Theorem 1.4 follows then analogous to Theorem 2.17.  $\square$

### 3.5. Example

In this final section, we apply Theorem 1.4 to determine all  $U^{(4)}(p)$  congruences of the Schottky form  $J_4$ . Recall that  $J_4$  is the unique Siegel cusp form of weight 8 and degree 4 with integral Fourier series coefficients, and normalized such that the content of its Fourier series coefficients is 1. Theorem 1.4 implies that  $J_4 \mid U^{(4)}(p) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  if  $p > 9 = 2 \cdot 8 - 4 - 3$ . It remains to

discuss the cases  $p = 5$  and  $p = 7$ . If  $p = 5$ , then  $J_4 \mid U^{(4)}(5) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , since  $c(J_4; T) = -1$ , where

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\det T = 5$  (see p. 218 of [25]).

In order to show that  $J_4$  has a  $U^{(4)}(p)$  congruence if  $p = 7$ , we consider  $J_4$  as the Duke-Imamoğlu-Ikeda lift of the unique elliptic cusp form  $\delta$  (normalized by  $c(\delta; 1) = 1$ ) of weight  $\frac{13}{2}$  and level 4. Note that  $\delta$  has integral Fourier series coefficients  $c(\delta; n)$ , and [20] provides a table of  $c(\delta; n)$  with  $n \leq 149$ . Kohnen's [19] formula for the Fourier series coefficients of Duke-Imamoğlu-Ikeda lifts asserts that

$$c(J_4; T) = \sum_{a \mid f_T} a^6 \phi(a; T) c\left(\delta; D_{T,0} \left(\frac{f_T}{a}\right)^2\right),$$

where  $\phi(a, T) \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a local invariant of  $T$ , and  $\det(T) = D_{T,0} f_T^2$  for square free  $D_{T,0}$ . Observe from this formula that  $c(\delta, n) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  whenever  $7 \mid n$  implies that  $c(J_4; T) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  whenever  $7 \mid \det(T)$ . Thus, it suffices to verify that  $\delta$  has a  $U(7)$  congruence.

If  $g = 1$ , then  $\theta := \mathbb{D}$  is the usual theta operator for elliptic modular forms. We need to argue that  $\delta \equiv \theta^6 \delta \pmod{7}$ . Note that  $\theta^6 \delta$  is congruent to a modular form of weight  $\frac{13}{2} + 48$  and level 4, and the Sturm bound is 28 (see for example Theorem 2.58 of [24]). The table in [20] shows that the first 28 coefficients of  $\delta$  exhibit a  $U(7)$  congruence, and we conclude that  $J_4 \mid U(7) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ .

**Remark 3.8.** Recall that the Schottky  $J_4$  is the difference of the theta series of degree 4 attached to the unimodular lattices  $E_8 \oplus E_8$  and  $D_{16}$ , respectively (see [14]). In this context, our example can be interpreted as follows: For all primes  $p \geq 5$ ,  $p \neq 7$ , there exists at least one lattice  $\Lambda$  of dimension four whose discriminant is divisible by  $p$  and which does not occur with the same multiplicities in  $E_8 \oplus E_8$  and  $D_{16}$ . The case  $p = 7$  is special. The number of 4-dimensional sublattices  $\Lambda$  in  $E_8 \oplus E_8$  and  $D_{16}$  with discriminant divisible by 7 coincide modulo 7.

Comparing elliptic theta series attached to these lattices shows that the number of vectors of length  $n$  in  $E_8 \oplus E_8$  and  $D_{16}$  is the same for all non-negative integers  $n$ .

## Acknowledgements

The first author was partially supported by the ETH Zurich Postdoctoral Fellowship Program and by the Marie Curie Actions for People COFUND Program. The second author was partially supported by Simons Foundation Grant #200765.

## References

- [1] S. Ahlgren and K. Ono, *Arithmetic of singular moduli and class polynomials*. Compos. Math., **141** (2005), no. 2, 293–312.
- [2] S. Böcherer, *On the Hecke operator  $U(p)$* . J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **45** (2005), no. 4, 807–829.
- [3] S. Böcherer and S. Nagaoka, *On mod  $p$  properties of Siegel modular forms*. Math. Ann., **338** (2007), no. 2, 421–433.
- [4] S. Böcherer and S. Nagaoka, *Congruences for Siegel modular forms and their weights*. Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg, **80** (2010), no. 2, 227–231.
- [5] D. Choi, Y. Choie and O. Richter, *Congruences for Siegel modular forms*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **61** (2011), no. 4, 1455–1466.
- [6] Y. Choie and H. Kim, *Differential operators on Jacobi forms of several variables*. J. Number Theory, **82** (2000) 140–163.
- [7] W. Eholzer and T. Ibukiyama, *Rankin-Cohen type differential operators for Siegel modular forms*. Internat. J. Math., **9** (1998), no. 4, 443–463.
- [8] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The theory of Jacobi forms*. Birkhäuser, Boston (1985).
- [9] N. Elkies, K. Ono and T. Yang, *Reduction of CM elliptic curves and modular function congruences*. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **2005**, no. 44, 2695–2707.
- [10] E. Freitag, *Siegelsche Modulfunktionen*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1983).
- [11] P. Guerzhoy, *On  $U(p)$ -congruences*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **135** (2007), no. 9, 2743–2746.
- [12] G. Harder, *A congruence between a Siegel and an elliptic modular form*. In: The 1-2-3 of modular forms, Universitext, 247–262, Springer, Berlin (2008).

- [13] T. Ichikawa, *Congruences between Siegel modular forms*. Math. Ann., **342** (2008), no. 3, 527–532.
- [14] J. Igusa, *Schottky's invariant and quadratic forms*. In: E. B. Christoffel (Aachen/Monschau, 1979), 352–362, Birkhäuser, Basel (1981).
- [15] N. Jochnowitz, *A study of the local components of the Hecke algebra mod  $l$* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **270** (1982), no. 1, 253–267.
- [16] H. Katsurada, *Congruence of Siegel modular forms and special values of their standard zeta functions*. Math. Z., **259** (2008), no. 1, 97–111.
- [17] N. M. Katz,  *$p$ -adic  $L$ -functions for CM fields*. Invent. Math., **49** (1978), no. 3, 199–297.
- [18] H. Klingen, *Introductory lectures on Siegel modular forms*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 20, Cambridge University Press (1990).
- [19] W. Kohnen, *Lifting modular forms of half-integral weight to Siegel modular forms of even genus*. Math. Ann., **322** (2002), no. 4, 787–809.
- [20] W. Kohnen and D. Zagier, *Values of  $L$ -series of modular forms at the center of the critical strip*. Invent. Math., **64** (1981), no. 2, 175–198.
- [21] G. Mason and C. Marks, *Structure of the module of vector-valued modular forms*. J. London Math. Soc. (2), **82** (2010), no. 1, 32–48.
- [22] S. Nagaoka, *Note on mod  $p$  Siegel modular forms*. Math. Z., **235** (2000), no. 2, 405–420.
- [23] S. Nagaoka, *Note on mod  $p$  Siegel modular forms II*. Math. Z., **251** (2005), no. 4, 821–826.
- [24] K. Ono, *The web of modularity: Arithmetic of the coefficients of modular forms and  $q$ -series*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Vol. 102, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC (2004).
- [25] C. Poor and D. Yuen, *Computations of spaces of Siegel modular cusp forms*. J. Math. Soc. Japan, **59** (2007), no. 1, 185–222.
- [26] M. Raum, *Computing genus 1 Jacobi forms*. To appear in Math. Comp., [arXiv:1212.1834](https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.1834) (2012).
- [27] O. Richter, *On congruences of Jacobi forms*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **136** (2008), no. 8, 2729–2734.

- [28] O. Richter, *The action of the heat operator on Jacobi forms.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **137** (2009), no. 3, 869–875.
- [29] J.-P. Serre, *Formes modulaires et fonctions zeta p-adiques.* In: Modular functions of one variable III, 191–268, Lecture Notes in Math. **350**, Springer (1973).
- [30] N.-P. Skoruppa, *Jacobi forms of critical weight and Weil representations.* In: Modular forms on Schiermonnikoog, 239–266, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2008).
- [31] A. Sofer, *p-adic aspects of Jacobi forms.* J. Number Theory, **63** (1997), no. 2, 191–202.
- [32] W. Stein et al., Sage Mathematics Software (Version 5.7), The Sage Development Team (2013). <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- [33] H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *On l-adic representations and congruences for coefficients of modular forms.* In: Modular functions of one variable III, 1–55, Lecture Notes in Math. **350**, Springer (1973).
- [34] C. Ziegler, *Jacobi forms of higher degree.* Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **59** (1989) 191–224.

ETH ZURICH, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT  
 CH-8092, ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND  
*E-mail address:* martin@raum-brothers.eu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS  
 DENTON, TX 76203, USA  
*E-mail address:* richter@unt.edu

RECEIVED JANUARY 9, 2014