

A Remark on the Behavior of Theta Series of Degree n under Modular Transformations

Olav K. Richter

1 Introduction

A. Andrianov and G. Maloletkin [3], [4] and Andrianov [1], [2] investigate transformation properties of theta series corresponding to quadratic forms. Let F be a symmetric, integral matrix of rank m with even diagonal entries, and let q be the level of F ; that is, qF^{-1} is integral and qF^{-1} has even diagonal entries. Suppose that F is of type (k, l) , and let H be a majorant of F ; that is, $HF^{-1}H = F$ and ${}^tH = H > 0$.

For Z in the Siegel upper half-plane, $\mathfrak{H}^{(n)} = \{Z \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z = {}^tZ \text{ and } \text{Im}(Z) > 0\}$, and for $\zeta_+, \zeta_- \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ (with $m > n$), Andrianov and Maloletkin [4] define the theta series

$$\theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}^{(\kappa,\lambda)}(Z) = \sum_{N \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z})} \det({}^tNF\zeta_+)^{\kappa} \det({}^tNF\zeta_-)^{\lambda} e^{\left\{ \sigma(F[N] \text{Re}(Z) + iH[N] \text{Im}(Z)) \right\}}, \quad (1)$$

where κ, λ are nonnegative integers, $e\{x\} = \exp(\pi i x)$, $U[V] = {}^tVUV$ for any matrices U and V , and $\sigma(W)$ is the trace of the matrix W . Note that $\theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}^{(\kappa,\lambda)}(Z)$ is identically zero if both n and $(\kappa + \lambda)$ are odd.

If F is positive definite and if $F[\zeta_+] = 0$, Andrianov and Maloletkin [3] show that $\theta_{F,\zeta_+}^{(\kappa)}(Z) = \theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}^{(\kappa,0)}(Z)$ is a Siegel modular form on $\Gamma_0^{(n)}(q)$, where $\Gamma^{(n)} = \text{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\Gamma_0^{(n)}(q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma^{(n)}, C \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \right\}$. Andrianov and Maloletkin [4] assume that $F\zeta_+ = H\zeta_+$, $F\zeta_- = -H\zeta_-$, and $F[\zeta_+] = H[\zeta_-] = 0$. They then determine the behavior of $\theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}^{(\kappa,\lambda)}(Z)$ under modular transformations. We determine the behavior of $\theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}^{(\kappa,\lambda)}(Z)$

Received 17 August 2000.

Communicated by Dennis Hejhal.

in the more general situation when $F[\zeta_+] \neq 0 \neq H[\zeta_-]$. In this case, $\theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}^{(\kappa,\lambda)}(Z)$ is not “modular” but can be used to construct a function $\Theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}(Z, X)$, which satisfies a transformation property that generalizes the transformation law of Jacobi-like forms introduced by D. Zagier [10] and P. Cohen, Y. Manin, and Zagier [6]. Furthermore, we see that functions that share the transformation property of $\Theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}(Z, X)$ provide a method to construct Siegel modular forms.

Define (for fixed F, H, ζ_+ , and ζ_-) a function of $Z \in \mathfrak{H}^{(n)}$ and $X \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\Theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}(Z, X) = \sum_{\kappa \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \geq 0} \binom{2}{n!}^{\kappa+\lambda} \frac{\theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}^{(2\kappa,2\lambda)}(Z)}{(2\kappa)!(2\lambda)!} \det(2\pi i X)^\kappa \det(2\pi i \bar{X})^\lambda. \tag{2}$$

Note that $\Theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}(Z, X)$ does not vanish identically.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Suppose $F\zeta_+ = H\zeta_+$ and $F\zeta_- = -H\zeta_-$. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0^{(n)}(q)$. Choose T integral and symmetric such that, for $D^* = CT + D$, $\det D^* = \pm p$ for an odd prime p . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\Theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-} \left((AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}, (CZ + D)^{-2} X \right) \\ &= \phi(M, Z) \exp \left\{ \frac{\det(F[\zeta_+]CX)}{\det(CZ + D)} + \frac{\det(H[\zeta_-]C\bar{X})}{\det(C\bar{Z} + D)} \right\} \Theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}(Z, X), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where

$$\phi(M, Z) = \chi_F(M) \det(CZ + D)^{k/2} \det(C\bar{Z} + D)^{l/2}$$

and where $\chi_F(M)$ is an eighth root of unity. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(M, Z) &= \varepsilon_p^{-m} \left(\frac{2^m c^m \det F}{p} \right) \mathbf{e} \left\{ \frac{(k-l)s}{4} \right\} \\ &\quad \times |\det(C)|^{m/2} \left\{ \det[-iC^{-1}(CZ + D)] \right\}^{k/2} \left\{ \det[iC^{-1}(C\bar{Z} + D)] \right\}^{l/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_p = 1$ for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\varepsilon_p = i$ for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol, c is any diagonal element of $(pD^{*-1}C)$ with $(c, p) = 1$, and s is the signature of $D^{*-1}C$. If C is singular, then C^{-1} is interpreted as ${}^tD(C{}^tD)^{-1}$, where $(C{}^tD)^{-1}$ is the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse, and the determinants are interpreted as the product of the nonzero eigenvalues. Furthermore, $|\det(C)|^{1/2}$ is positive, and $\{\det[-iC^{-1}(CZ + D)]\}^{1/2}$ and $\{\det[iC^{-1}(C\bar{Z} + D)]\}^{1/2}$ are given by analytic continuation from the principal value when $Z = -C^{-1}D + iY$. □

2 The symplectic theta function

The symplectic group

$$\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \mid M \in \mathrm{M}_{2n,2n}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } J[M] = J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

where I_n is the $(n \times n)$ -identity matrix, acts on the Siegel upper half-plane

$$\mathfrak{H}^{(n)} = \{ Z \in \mathrm{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z = {}^t Z \text{ and } \mathrm{Im}(Z) > 0 \}.$$

The action of M on Z is given by

$$M \circ Z = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}.$$

Let $\Gamma^{(n)} = \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. The theta subgroup

$$\Gamma_{\vartheta}^{(n)} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma^{(n)} \mid A {}^t B, C {}^t D \text{ have even diagonal entries} \right\}$$

acts on the symplectic theta function

$$\vartheta \left(Z, \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathbf{e} \{ Z[m + v] - 2 {}^t m u - {}^t v u \}, \tag{4}$$

where u and v are column vectors in \mathbb{C}^n . It is well known (see, e.g., [7]) that, for

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \text{ in } \Gamma_{\vartheta}^{(n)},$$

$$\vartheta \left(M \circ Z, M \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right) = \chi(M) [\det(CZ + D)]^{1/2} \vartheta \left(Z, \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right), \tag{5}$$

where $\chi(M)$ is an eighth root of unity which depends upon the chosen square root of $\det(CZ + D)$ but which is otherwise independent of Z , u , and v . It is also known that $\chi(M)$ can be expressed in terms of Gaussian sums. H. Stark [8] determines $\chi(M)$ in the important special case when both C and D are nonsingular and when pD^{-1} is integral for some odd prime p . R. Styer [9] extends Stark's results and includes the case where C is singular. We use the following theorem of [9] to compute the explicit theta multiplier of $\Theta_{F,H,\zeta_+, \zeta_-}(Z, X)$.

Theorem 2 (Stark, Styer). Suppose $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ is in $\Gamma_{\vartheta}^{(n)}$, where D^{-1} exists. Suppose further that pD^{-1} is integral and that $\det D = \pm p^h$ for some odd prime p . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi(M) [\det(CZ + D)]^{1/2} \\ &= \varepsilon_p^{-h} \left(\frac{2^h \det[(pD^{-1}C)^{(h)}]}{p} \right) \mathbf{e} \left\{ \frac{s}{4} \right\} |\det(C)|^{1/2} \{ \det[-iC^{-1}(CZ + D)] \}^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\varepsilon_p = 1$ for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\varepsilon_p = i$ for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol, $(pD^{-1}C)^{(h)}$ is any $(h \times h)$ -principal submatrix of $pD^{-1}C$ which is nonsingular mod p , and s is the signature (the number of positive eigenvalues minus the number of negative eigenvalues) of $D^{-1}C$. If C is singular, then C^{-1} is interpreted as ${}^tD(C{}^tD)^{-1}$, where $(C{}^tD)^{-1}$ is the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse (see [5]), and the determinants are interpreted as the product of the nonzero eigenvalues. Furthermore, $|\det(C)|^{1/2}$ is positive and $\{\det[-iC^{-1}(CZ + D)]\}^{1/2}$ is given by analytic continuation from the principal value when $Z = -C^{-1}D + iY$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 1. Let F be a symmetric, integral matrix of rank m with even diagonal entries, and let q be the level of F ; that is, qF^{-1} is integral and qF^{-1} has even diagonal entries. Suppose that F is of type (k, l) , and let H be a majorant of F ; that is, $HF^{-1}H = F$ and ${}^tH = H > 0$.

Andrianov and Maloletkin [4] regard $\theta_{F,H}(Z) = \theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}^{(0,0)}(Z)$ as a symplectic theta function and then apply (5). Let $U \otimes V = (u_{ij}V)$ denote the Kronecker product of two matrices U and V . For $Z = X + iY \in \mathfrak{H}^{(n)}$, set $\tilde{Z} = X \otimes F + iY \otimes H \in \mathfrak{H}^{(nm)}$. One verifies that $\theta_{F,H}(Z) = \vartheta(\tilde{Z}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})$. Furthermore, if $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0^{(n)}(q)$, then $\tilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A} & \tilde{B} \\ \tilde{C} & \tilde{D} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A \otimes I_m & B \otimes F \\ C \otimes F^{-1} & D \otimes I_m \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{\vartheta}^{(nm)}$, and Andrianov and Maloletkin [4] show that

$$\theta_{F,H}(M \circ Z) = \chi(\tilde{M}) \det(\tilde{C}\tilde{Z} + \tilde{D})^{1/2} \vartheta(\tilde{Z}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}) = \phi(M, Z) \theta_{F,H}(Z), \tag{7}$$

where $\phi(M, Z) = \chi_F(M) \det(CZ + D)^{k/2} \det(C\bar{Z} + D)^{l/2}$ and $\chi_F(M)$ is an eighth root of unity. Unfortunately, Andrianov and Maloletkin [4] can determine $\chi_F(M)$ only when m is even. In the special case where F is positive definite ($F = H$), Styer [9] uses Theorem 2 to determine $\chi_F(M)$ for all m . It is easy to see that Styer’s method can also be applied to determine $\chi_F(M)$ for all m , even if F is indefinite.

Styer [9] shows that if $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma^{(n)}$, then there exists a symmetric, integral matrix T such that $\det(CT + D) = \pm p$ for some arbitrarily large prime p . As in Styer [9], we set $Z^* = Z - T$, $M^* = M \begin{pmatrix} I_n & T \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}$, and we observe that

$$\theta_{F,H}(M \circ Z) = \chi(\tilde{M}^*) \det(\tilde{C}\tilde{Z}^* + \tilde{D}^*)^{1/2} \theta_{F,H}(Z). \tag{8}$$

We apply Theorem 2 and find that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(M, Z) &= \varepsilon_p^{-m} \left(\frac{2^m c^m \det F}{p} \right) \mathbf{e} \left\{ \frac{(k-l)s}{4} \right\} \\ &\times |\det(C)|^{m/2} \{ \det[-iC^{-1}(CZ + D)] \}^{k/2} \{ \det[iC^{-1}(C\bar{Z} + D)] \}^{l/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\varepsilon_p = 1$ for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $\varepsilon_p = i$ for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol, c is any diagonal element of $(pD^{*-1}C)$ with $(c, p) = 1$, and s is the signature of $D^{*-1}C$. If C is singular, then C^{-1} is interpreted as ${}^tD(C{}^tD)^{-1}$, where $(C{}^tD)^{-1}$ is the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse, and the determinants are interpreted as the product of the nonzero eigenvalues. Furthermore, $|\det(C)|^{1/2}$ is positive, and $\{ \det[-iC^{-1}(CZ + D)] \}^{1/2}$ and $\{ \det[iC^{-1}(C\bar{Z} + D)] \}^{1/2}$ are given by analytic continuation from the principal value when $Z = -C^{-1}D + iY$.

Note that if m is even and if $\det D = \pm p$, formula (9) matches the result from Andrianov and Maloletkin [4], and we have

$$\phi(M, Z) = (\operatorname{sgn}(\det D))^{(k-l)/2} \left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2} \det F}{|\det D|} \right) \det(CZ + D)^{(k-l)/2} |\det(C\bar{Z} + D)|^l. \tag{10}$$

To prove Theorem 1, we proceed as in Andrianov and Maloletkin [4], and we differentiate (7). For this purpose, we state [3, Lemma 3] in a slightly more general form.

Lemma 1. Let $1 \leq n < m$. Let $P, R \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$, ${}^tP = P$, and $Q, \eta \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$. Denote by $\xi = (\xi_{\alpha\beta})$ an $(m \times n)$ -variable matrix and by $\partial = (\partial/\partial\xi_{\alpha\beta})$ the corresponding matrix of differentiation operators. Set $L = L_\eta = \det({}^t\eta\partial)$. Then, for $\nu \geq 1$, we have

$$L^\nu \left(\mathbf{e} \{ \sigma(P{}^t\xi\xi + 2{}^tQ\xi + R) \} \right) = f_{P,Q,\eta,\nu}(\xi) \mathbf{e} \{ \sigma(P{}^t\xi\xi + 2{}^tQ\xi + R) \}, \tag{11}$$

where

$$f_{P,Q,\eta,\nu}(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{[\nu/2]} \nu! \left(\frac{n!}{2} \right)^j \frac{\det(2\pi i P{}^t\eta\eta)^j}{j!} \frac{\det(2\pi i (P{}^t\xi + {}^tQ)\eta)^{\nu-2j}}{(\nu-2j)!}. \quad \square$$

Remark. If in addition ${}^t\eta\eta = 0$, then $f_{P,Q,\eta,\nu}(\xi) = \det(2\pi i (P{}^t\xi + {}^tQ)\eta)^\nu$ and our lemma simplifies to [3, Lemma 3].

Proof. Andrianov and Maloletkin [3] point out that

$$L(\mathbf{e}\{\sigma(P^t \xi \xi + 2^t Q \xi + R)\}) = \det(2\pi i(P^t \xi + {}^t Q)\eta) \mathbf{e}\{\sigma(P^t \xi \xi + 2^t Q \xi + R)\}$$

and that, for indices $\beta, \gamma, \mu,$ and $\iota,$

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^m \eta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\alpha\gamma}}\right) \left(\sum_{x=1}^m (\xi P + Q)_{x\mu} \eta_{x\iota}\right) = P_{\gamma\mu} ({}^t \eta \eta)_{\beta\iota}.$$

Hence

$$L(\det(2\pi i(P^t \xi + {}^t Q)\eta)) = \det(2\pi i P) L(\det({}^t \xi \eta)).$$

The operator L can be written as follows:

$$L = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{\gamma} \prod_{j=1}^n \eta_{\gamma(j)j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{\gamma(j)\sigma(j)}},$$

where the second summation is over all maps γ from $\{1, \dots, n\}$ to $\{1, \dots, m\}$. We find that

$$\begin{aligned} L(\det({}^t \xi \eta)) &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma \circ \tau) \prod_{j=1}^n \eta_{\gamma(j)j} \eta_{\gamma \circ \tau(j)j} \\ &= n! \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\tau) \sum_{\gamma} \prod_{j=1}^n \eta_{\gamma(j)j} \eta_{\gamma(j)\tau(j)} \\ &= n! \det({}^t \eta \eta), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$L(\det(2\pi i(P^t \xi + {}^t Q)\eta)) = n! \det(2\pi i P {}^t \eta \eta).$$

Induction on ν then gives the desired result. ■

Now we are ready to determine the behavior of $\theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}^{(\kappa,\lambda)}(Z)$ under modular transformations.

Theorem 3. Suppose $F\zeta_+ = H\zeta_+$ and $F\zeta_- = -H\zeta_-$. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0^{(n)}(q)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}^{(\kappa,\lambda)}(M \circ Z) \\ &= \phi(M, Z) \sum_{j=0}^{[\kappa/2]} \sum_{g=0}^{[\lambda/2]} \kappa! \lambda! \left(\frac{n!}{2}\right)^{j+g} \frac{\det\left(\frac{F[\zeta_+]C}{2\pi i}\right)^j}{j!} \frac{\det\left(\frac{H[\zeta_-]C}{2\pi i}\right)^g}{g!} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\det(CZ + D)^{\kappa-j} \det(C\bar{Z} + D)^{\lambda-g}}{(\kappa - 2j)! (\lambda - 2g)!} \theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}^{(\kappa-2j,\lambda-2g)}(Z), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\phi(M, Z)$ is given by (9). □

Remark. In the special case when $F[\zeta_+] = H[\zeta_-] = 0$, our theorem reduces to [4, Theorem 2].

Proof. Let $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_+ \\ \xi_- \end{pmatrix} \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$, where $\xi_+ \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\xi_- \in M_{l,n}(\mathbb{C})$, and let ∂_+ and ∂_- be the corresponding matrices of differential operators. Set $\tilde{\eta}_+ = S^{-1}\zeta_+$ and $\tilde{\eta}_- = S^{-1}\zeta_-$, where $S \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that $F[S] = E_{k,l}$ and $H[S] = I_m$ and where $E_{k,l} = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & \\ & -I_l \end{pmatrix}$. Note that $\tilde{\eta}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tilde{\eta}_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \eta_- \end{pmatrix}$, for $\eta_+ \in M_{k,n}$ and $\eta_- \in M_{l,n}$, since $(F - H)[S]\tilde{\eta}_+ = -2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & I_l \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\eta}_+ = 0$ and $(F + H)[S]\tilde{\eta}_+ = -2 \begin{pmatrix} I_k & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\eta}_- = 0$. Thus [4, (4.4)] can be stated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_N \mathbf{e} \left\{ \sigma(P_+ {}^t\xi_+ \xi_+ + 2 {}^tQ_+ \xi_+ + R_+) \right\} \mathbf{e} \left\{ \sigma(P_- {}^t\xi_- \xi_- + 2 {}^tQ_- \xi_- + R_-) \right\} \\ &= \phi(M, Z) \sum_N \mathbf{e} \left\{ \sigma(P'_+ {}^t\xi_+ \xi_+ + 2 {}^tQ'_+ \xi_+ + R'_+) \right\} \\ & \quad \times \mathbf{e} \left\{ \sigma(P'_- {}^t\xi_- \xi_- + 2 {}^tQ'_- \xi_- + R'_-) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_+ &= Z(CZ + D)^{-1}D, & P_- &= -\bar{Z}(C\bar{Z} + D)^{-1}D, & P'_+ &= Z, & P'_- &= -\bar{Z}, \\ Q_+ &= {}^t(-Z(CZ + D)^{-1} {}^tN {}^tS^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}), & Q_- &= {}^t(\bar{Z}(C\bar{Z} + D)^{-1} {}^tN {}^tS^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_l \end{pmatrix}), \\ Q'_+ &= {}^t(-Z {}^tN {}^tS^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}), & Q'_- &= {}^t(\bar{Z} {}^tN {}^tS^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_l \end{pmatrix}), \\ R_+ &= \operatorname{Re}(M \circ Z)F[N], & R_- &= i \operatorname{Im}(M \circ Z)H[N], \\ R'_+ &= \operatorname{Re}(Z)F[N], & R'_- &= i \operatorname{Im}(Z)H[N] \end{aligned}$$

and where $\phi(M, Z)$ is defined in (7) and given explicitly in (9). We apply Lemma 1 with $L = L_+L_- = \det({}^t\eta_+\partial_+)^{\kappa} \det({}^t\eta_-\partial_-)^{\lambda}$, and we set $\xi_+ = \xi_- = 0$. Then (12) follows from observing that ${}^t\tilde{\eta}_+\tilde{\eta}_+ = {}^t\eta_+\eta_+ = F[\zeta_+]$ and ${}^t\tilde{\eta}_-\tilde{\eta}_- = {}^t\eta_-\eta_- = H[\zeta_-]$. ■

The transformation formula (3) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}(M \circ Z, (CZ + D)^{-2}X) \\ &= \phi(M, Z) \sum_{\kappa \geq 0} \sum_{j=0}^{\kappa} \left(\frac{2}{n!} \right)^{\kappa-j} \frac{\det(2\pi i X)^{\kappa-j}}{(2\kappa - 2j)!} \frac{\left(\frac{\det(F[\zeta_+]CX)}{\det(CZ + D)} \right)^j}{j!} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\lambda \geq 0} \sum_{g=0}^{\lambda} \left(\frac{2}{n!} \right)^{\lambda-g} \frac{\det(2\pi i \bar{X})^{\lambda-g}}{(2\lambda - 2g)!} \frac{\left(\frac{\det(H[\zeta_-]C\bar{X})}{\det(C\bar{Z} + D)} \right)^g}{g!} \theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}^{(2\kappa-2j, 2\lambda-2g)}(Z) \\ &= \phi(M, Z) \exp \left\{ \frac{\det(F[\zeta_+]CX)}{\det(CZ + D)} + \frac{\det(H[\zeta_-]C\bar{X})}{\det(C\bar{Z} + D)} \right\} \Theta_{F,H,\zeta_+,\zeta_-}(Z, X). \end{aligned}$$

4 Conclusion

It would be very interesting to find other examples that satisfy the transformation property (3). We now explain how functions that satisfy a special case of (3) allow us to construct Siegel modular forms.

For $j = 1, 2$, let $f_j(Z, X)$ be holomorphic functions on $\mathfrak{H}^{(n)} \times M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$ of the form

$$f_j(Z, X) = \sum_{\nu \geq 0} f_\nu^{(j)}(Z) \det(2\pi i X)^\nu. \tag{13}$$

Suppose that, for $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma^{(n)}$,

$$f_j(M \circ Z, (CZ + D)^{-2} X) = \chi_j(M) \det(CZ + D)^{k_j} \exp \left\{ \frac{\det(CX)}{\det(CZ + D)} \right\} f_j(Z, X) \tag{14}$$

for some nonnegative integers k_j and characters χ_j . Notice that, when $n = 1$, f_j are Jacobi-like forms in the sense of Zagier [10] and Cohen, Manin, and Zagier [6]. Then

$$F(Z, X) = f_1(Z, X) f_2 \left(Z, e \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\} X \right) = \sum_{\nu \geq 0} F_\nu(Z) \det(2\pi i X)^\nu,$$

where $F_\nu(Z)$ is a Siegel modular form of weight $k_1 + k_2 + 2\nu$ and character $\chi_1 \chi_2$. Hence, as an application of Theorem 3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. Suppose F is positive definite ($F = H$) such that $\det(F[\zeta_+]) = 1$. Set

$$\Theta_{F, \zeta_+}(Z, X) = \sum_{\kappa \geq 0} \binom{2}{n!}^\kappa \frac{\theta_{F, H, \zeta_+, \zeta_-}^{(2\kappa, 0)}(Z)}{(2\kappa)!} \det(2\pi i X)^\kappa \tag{15}$$

and

$$F(Z, X) = \Theta_{F, \zeta_+}(Z, X) \Theta_{F, \zeta_+} \left(Z, e \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\} X \right) = \sum_{\nu \geq 0} F_\nu(Z) \det(2\pi i X)^\nu. \tag{16}$$

Then

$$F_\nu(Z) = \left(\frac{2}{n!} \right)^\nu \sum_{\kappa=0}^\nu (-1)^\kappa \frac{\theta_{F, H, \zeta_+, \zeta_-}^{(2\kappa, 0)}(Z)}{(2\kappa)!} \frac{\theta_{F, H, \zeta_+, \zeta_-}^{(2\nu-2\kappa, 0)}(Z)}{(2\nu-2\kappa)!} \tag{17}$$

is a Siegel modular form on $\Gamma_0^{(n)}(q)$ of weight $m + 2\nu$ and character $(\chi_F(M))^2$, with $\chi_F(M)$ as in Theorem 1. □

References

- [1] A. N. Andrianov, *Spherical theta-series*, Math. USSR-Sb. **62** (1989), 289–304.
- [2] ———, *Symmetries of harmonic theta-functions of integral quadratic forms*, Russian Math. Surveys **50** (4) (1995), 661–700.
- [3] A. N. Andrianov and G. N. Maloletkin, *Behavior of theta series of degree N under modular substitutions*, Math. USSR-Izvestija **9** (2) (1975), 227–241.
- [4] ———, *Behavior of theta series of genus n of indefinite quadratic forms under modular substitutions*, Proc. Steklov. Inst. Math. **4** (1980), 1–12.
- [5] A. Ben-Israel and T. N. E. Greville, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1974.
- [6] P. B. Cohen, Y. Manin, and D. Zagier, *Automorphic Pseudodifferential Operators*, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **26**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997, 17–47.
- [7] M. Eichler, *Introduction to the Theory of Algebraic Numbers and Functions*, Pure Appl. Math. **23**, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [8] H. M. Stark, *On the transformation formula for the symplectic theta function and applications*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. 1A Math. **29** (1982), 1–12.
- [9] R. Styer, *Prime determinant matrices and the symplectic theta function*, Amer. J. Math. **106** (1984), 645–664.
- [10] D. Zagier, *Modular forms and differential operators*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **104** (1994), 57–75.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Cruz, California 95064, USA;
 richter@math.ucsc.edu